(Parallel) Sparse Matrix Computations

## Sparse Matrices arise in

- Simulation of Physical/Chemical Phenomena
- Modeled through particles/molecules/point clouds
- (Spatial) Database Applications
- Graph Computations
- Combinatorial Optimization


## Example: Finite Differences



## In case of a $5 \times 5$ grid this leads to 25 grid points and the following sparse matrix:

Number of grid points in the $x$ direction

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
\leftrightarrows & x & 0 . & 0 . & x & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . \\
x & x & x & 0 . & 0 . & x & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . \\
0 . & x & x & x & 0 . & 0 . & x & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . \\
0 . & 0 . & x & x & x & 0 . & 0 . & x & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . \\
x & 0 . & 0 . & x & x & x & 0 . & 0 . & x & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . \\
0 . & x & 0 . & 0 . & x & x & x & 0 . & 0 . & x & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . \\
0 . & 0 . & x & 0 . & 0 . & x & x & x & 0 . & 0 . & x & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . \\
0 . & 0 . & 0 . & x & 0 . & 0 . & x & x & x & 0 . & 0 . & x & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . \\
0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & x & 0 . & 0 . & x & x & x & 0 . & 0 . & x & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . \\
0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & x & 0 . & 0 . & x & x & x & 0 . & 0 . & x & 0 . & 0 . \\
0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & x & 0 . & 0 . & x & x & x & 0 . & 0 . & x & 0 . \\
0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & x & 0 . & 0 . & x & x & x & 0 . & 0 . & x \\
0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & x & 0 . & 0 . & x & x & x & 0 . & 0 . \\
0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & x & 0 . & 0 . & x & x & x & 0 . \\
0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & x & 0 . & 0 . & x & x & x \\
0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & x & 0 . & 0 . & x & x
\end{array}\right) \downarrow \frac{\vdots}{\vdots}
$$

## Example: Finite Elements for more complex geometries




## Leads to:



## (Spatial) Databases Applications:

|  | City | State | ZipCode | Latitude | Longitude |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Troy |  | AL | 36081 | 31.809675 |
| 2 | Mobile | AL | -85.9 | 36685 | 30.686394 |
| 3 | Trussville | AL | -88.05324173 |  |  |
| 4 | Montgomery | AL | 36106 | 32.35351 | -86.265837 |
| 5 | Selma | AL | 36701 | 32.41179 | -87.022234 |
| 6 | Talladega | AL | 35161 | 33.43451 | -86.102689 |
| 7 | Tuscaloosa | AL | 35402 | 33.209003 | -87.571005 |
| 8 | Huntsville | AL | 35801 | 34.729135 | -86.584979 |
| 9 | Gadsden | AL | 35901 | 34.014772 | -86.007172 |
| 10 | Birmingham | AL | 35266 | 33.517467 | -86.809484 |
| 11 | Montgomery | AL | 36124 | 32.38012 | -86.300629 |
| 12 | Decatur | AL | 35602 | 34.60946 | -86.977029 |
| 13 | Eufaula | AL | 36072 | 31.941565 | -85.239689 |

## Stored using longitude and latitude values, normalized $\times 10$



## Example: Graph Algorithms

|  | A B C D E F |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| B | 1 | - |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| C | 1 |  | - |  |  | 1 |
| D | 1 | 1 |  | - |  | 1 |
| E | 1 | 1 |  |  | - | 1 |
| F |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |



## Example: Combinatorial Optimization



## Solving $A x=b$, with sparse $A$

- Direct Methods
- $A x=L U x=b$
- Iterative Methods
- Write $A x=b$ as

$$
M x=(M-A) x+b, \text { for some matrix } M
$$

- Solve each time:

$$
M x_{k+1}=(M-A) x_{k}+b
$$

- Until

$$
\left|\left|x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right|\right|<\varepsilon, \text { for some small } \varepsilon
$$

Choose easy invertible M :

- Diagonal part of $A$ (Jacobi's)
- Triangular part of $A$ (Gauss Seidel)
- Combination of the two (Successive Overrelaxation)
- If $M=A$, then we have the direct method
- Incomplete $L U$ Factorization


## Stability in direct methods

- Recapture Dense LU:

```
DO I = 1, N
    PIVOT = A(I, I)
    DO J = I+1, N
        MULT = A(J, I) / PIVOT
        A(J, I) = MULT
        DO K = I+1, N
        A(J, K) = A(J, K) - MULT * A(I, K)
        ENDDO
        ENDDO
ENDDO
```

- What if the PIVOT IS 0 (or very small) ?


## Pivoting

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
2 & 3
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}=\binom{4}{5}
$$

$\rightarrow$ Whenever $a_{k k}=0$ (or small) for some k. Look for $a_{m k}$ which is not zero (or large)
$\rightarrow$ Permute row $m$ to row $k$ (exchange row $m$ and row $k$ )
$\rightarrow a_{m k}$ is now on the diagonal

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 3 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}=\binom{5}{4}
$$

## Numerical instability with small pivots

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0.001 & 2.42 \\
1.00 & 1.58
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}=\binom{5.20}{4.57}
$$

If Gaussian elimination is performed with 3 decimal floating point arithmetic ( 0.123 E10), then ( $1.58-2420=-2420$ and $4.57-5200=-5200$ )

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0.001 & 2.42 \\
0 & -2420
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}=\binom{5.20}{-5200}
$$

Which gives as result $\tilde{x}=\binom{-3.00}{2.15}\left(0.001^{*} x_{1}=5.20-2.42 * 2.15=-0.003\right)$
However 1.00*-3.00 + 1.58*2.15 = $0.397 \neq 4.57$

This is solved by partial pivoting (again).
$\rightarrow$ Ensure that all multipliers $<1$, or for all entries $l_{i j}$ of $L:\left|l_{i j}\right|<1$

This is achieved by choosing only pivots $a_{k k}$ such that

$$
\left|\mathrm{a}_{k k}^{(k)}\right|>=\left|a_{i k}^{(k)}\right|, i>k
$$

This is again achieved by row interchanges.

## Example

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
3 & 17 & 10 \\
2 & 4 & -2 \\
6 & 18 & -12
\end{array}\right]
$$

At the first step 6 is chosen as pivot.
So row 1 -> row 3, row 2 -> row 2 , and row 3 -> row 1
This can be represented with permutation matrices:

$$
P_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \text {, and } P_{1} A=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
6 & 18 & -12 \\
2 & 4 & -2 \\
3 & 17 & 10
\end{array}\right]
$$

The elimination step can be represented by:

$$
E_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{crr}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 / 3 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 / 2 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \text {, so } E_{1} P_{1} A=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
6 & 18 & -12 \\
0 & -2 & 2 \\
0 & 8 & 16
\end{array}\right]
$$

At the second step compute: $\quad E_{2} P_{2} E_{1} P_{1} A$
With $P_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$ and

$$
E_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 / 4 & 1
\end{array}\right] \text { to yield }\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
6 & 18 & -12 \\
0 & 8 & 16 \\
0 & 0 & 6
\end{array}\right]=U
$$

In general all steps can be represented as:


Solution is obtained by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 1. } & c=P b \\
\text { 2. } & L y=c \\
\text { 3. } & U x=y
\end{array}
$$

with: $\quad P=P_{n-1} P_{n-2} \ldots P_{2} P_{1}, P A=L U$
$A x=b \Rightarrow P A x=P b \Rightarrow L U x=P b=>L(U x)=P b$

## Complete Pivoting

With partial pivoting the growth of the entries in the lower triangular matrix can still be as large as
$2^{\mathrm{n}-1}$ (if pivot $\approx 1$ at each step, then entries can double at each step)
$\rightarrow$ Need for finding better pivots
Instead of

$$
\left|a_{k k}^{(k)}\right|>=\max \left(\left|a_{i k}^{(k)}\right|, i>k\right)
$$

choose

$$
\left|a_{k k}^{(k)}\right|>=\max \left(\left|a_{i j}^{(k)}\right|, i, j>k\right)
$$

So with complete pivoting each step can be expressed as:

$$
E_{n-1} P_{n-1} E_{n-2} P_{n-2} \ldots E_{1} P_{1} A Q_{1} Q_{2} \ldots Q_{n-1}=U
$$

So,

$$
P A Q=L U
$$

with $P=P_{n-1} P_{n-2} \ldots P_{2} P_{1}, Q=Q_{1} Q_{2} \ldots Q_{n-2} Q_{n-1}$
So, the solution $x$ can be obtained by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. } c=P b \\
& \text { 2. } L y=c \\
& \text { 3. } U z=y \\
& \text { 4. } Q^{T} x=z \quad\left(Q^{T}=Q^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## For many systems pivoting is not required

1. $A$ is strictly diagonally dominant, if $\left|A_{i i}\right|>\sum_{j=1_{j \neq i}}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right|$.

Theorem 1 If $A^{T}$ is strictly diagonally dominant, then $L U$ obtained with no pivoting has the property that $\left|L_{i j}\right| \leq 1$, for all $i, j$.
2. $A$ is symmetric, if $A_{i j}=A_{j i}$ for all $i, j . A$ is positive definite, if for every $x \neq 0$

$$
x^{T} A x>0
$$

( $x^{T} A x$ often reflects the energy of the underlying physical system and is therefore often positive.)

Theorem 2 If $A$ is symmetric positive definite, then

$$
\varrho=\max _{i, j, k}\left|a_{i j}^{(k)}\right| \leq \max _{i, j}\left|a_{i j}\right| .
$$

In this case $L U$ can be written as $A=L \cdot L^{T}$ (or $L D L^{T}$, avoiding the calculation of square roots). This is called Choleski Factorization.

## Iterative Methods

$$
M x_{k+1}=(M-A) x_{k}+b
$$

with $M$ easy invertible, meaning that in most of the cases $M^{-1}$ can be directly expressed by a single matrix $\mathcal{M}$
$\rightarrow$ So, the solution can be obtained by simply performing (sparse) matrix multiplications

$$
x_{k+1}=\mathcal{M}\left((M-A) x_{k}+b\right)
$$

## Implementation Issues

- Data Storage: Pointer structures, Linked lists, Linear Arrays
- Pivot Search: Multiple storage schemes
- Masking Operations: Gather/Scatter Operations
- Garbage collection: Fill-in, Explicit garbage collection
- Permutation Issues: Implicit and/or explicit

$$
A=\left(a_{i j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrr}
1 . & 0 . & 0 . & -1 . & 0 . \\
2 . & 0 . & -2 . & 0 . & 3 . \\
0 . & -3 . & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . \\
0 . & 4 . & 0 . & -4 . & 0 . \\
5 . & 0 . & -5 . & 0 . & 6 .
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Coordinate Scheme Storage

int IRN[11], JCN[11];
float VAL[11];

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IRN | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| JCN | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| VAL | -1. | 3. | 2. | 1. | 6. | -3. | -4. | -5. | -2. | 4. | 5. |

$>$ No explicit order of the nonzero entries is enforced
$>$ Fetching row/column requires the whole data structure to be searched
>Insertion and/or deletion of nonzero entries is simple

## Sparse Compressed Row/Column Format

## int LENROW[5], POINTER[5], ICN[11]

 float VAL[11]| LENROW | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POINTER | 1 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ICN | 4 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 5 |
| VAL | -1. | 1. | 3. | 2. | -2. | -3. | -4. | 4. | -5. | 5. | 6. |

$>$ LENCOL, POINTER, and IRN are used for compressed column format
$>$ Fetching row or column is very easy in corresponding format
$>$ Insertion of nonzero elements is a big problem - expanded row/column is put at the end, and the LENROW/LENCOL is updated correspondingly
$>$ Instead of LENROW/LENCOL the last element in each row in ICN is negated

## Linked List (Pointer) Implementations


$>$ Very flexible
$>$ Access to data very inefficient
$>$ Pointer chasing
> Addresses not consecutive: bad spatial locality

## ExtendedColumn/ITpack/JaggedDiagonal Format

Shift all nonzero entries to the beginning of each row

## int INDEX[5][max] float VALUE[5][max]

INDEX: $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 4 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 5\end{array}\right)$ and VALUE: $\left(\begin{array}{rrr}1 . & -1 . & 0 . \\ 2 . & -2 . & 3 . \\ -3 . & 0 . & 0 . \\ 4 . & -4 . & 0 . \\ 5 . & -5 . & 6 .\end{array}\right)$
$>$ Especially suited for vector processing
$>$ Commonly used in sparse matrix multiplication
> Very good use of spatial locality

## Full Dense Format

## float A[i][j]

$\Rightarrow$ Seems wasteful
> Mostly restricted to sub-blocks of the matrix which contain many nonzero's
$>$ Used to locally expand rows and/or columns
> Often used in hybrid storage schemes with other formats

## Pivot Search

- When doing Gaussian Elimination: rows are added to other rows
- Compressed row storage seems to be the natural choice
- However, for partial pivoting for instance: each time all elements in a column need to be inspected
$\rightarrow$ Both row AND column compressed storage are required


## Masking Operations (GATHER/SCATTER)

## Adding one sparse row to another:

- Two incrementing pointers
- Scattering target row into a dense row, with a masking array indicating which position in the row are nonzero

```
DO J = POINTER (K), POINTER (K+1) - 1
    TARGET (ICN (K) ) = VAL (K )
    MASK (ICN (K) ) = TRUE
DO J = POINTER (I), POINTER (l+1) - 1
    TARGET (ICN (J) ) = TARGET (ICN (J) ) + PIV * VAL ( J )
    IF MASK (ICN(J)) = FALSE THEN MASK (ICN(J)) = True
DO J = 1,N
    IF (MASK (ICN(J)) = TRUE ) THEN write TARGET (ICN(J)) back | GATHER
```


## Fill-in / Garbage Collection

- Note that the write back will cause problems in general
- Additional space is reserved to store the expanded columns or rows and the old location will have to be released at some point
- In direct solvers this is mostly explicitly controlled!!!!!
- In any case: it is extremely important to minimize the amount of fill-in


## Fill-in Control (Markowitch counts)

$r^{(k)}{ }_{i}=$ the number of nonzero elements in row $i$ of the active $(n-k) x(n-k)$ sub-matrix
$c^{(k)}=$ the number of nonzero elements in column $j$ of the active ( $n-k$ ) $x(n-k)$ sub-matrix
$\rightarrow$ Instead of complete pivoting, choose pivot based on:

```
|aij}\mp@subsup{a}{i=}{(k)}\\gequ.| values in column j of the active submatrix
such that }(\mp@subsup{r}{i}{(k)}-1)(\mp@subsup{c}{j}{(k)}-1)\mathrm{ is minimized.
```

$u(0<u<=1)$ is thresshold parameter balancing between stability and fill-in control

## Permutations

$>$ If $Q=P^{T}$ then $P A Q\left(=P A P^{T}\right)$ is a symmetric permutation
$>$ Diagonal elements stay on the diagonal
>The associated (di)graph stays the same
$>$ Permutations can be executed explicitly (beforehand), on the fly, or implicitly by referring each time to $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{I})$ instead of I

## Lab Assignment

Write a C-program which implements LU factorization with partial pivoting.

See course website for details.

