## From exam Automata Theory, 21 December, 2023

Let

$$L = \{ a^i b^j \mid i \neq j \}$$

For example,  $a^5b^4 \in L$ . Let us assume that  $n \ge 2$  for this exercise.

For each of the following four strings  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$ , indicate whether it is suitable for establishing a contradiction with the pumping lemma.

Furthermore, if  $x_i$  is indeed suitable, then derive a contradiction with the pumping lemma. If  $x_i$  is not suitable, indicate why not, for example, via a concrete decomposition uvw of  $x_i$  that does satisfy the pumping lemma.

$$x_1 = a^{n+1}b^n$$

$$x_2 = a^{n!}b^{(n+1)!}$$

$$x_3 = aaaaabbbb$$

$$x_4 = b^{2n}$$

## From exam Automata Theory, 19 December, 2024

Consider the language

$$L = \{x \in \{a, b\}^* \mid n_a(x) \ge n_b(x) \ge n_a(x) - 2\}$$

Hence, L contains the strings in which there are 0, 1 or 2 fewer b's than a's. For example,  $aaba \in L$  and  $bbabaa \in L$ , but  $aabaa \notin L$  because there are too few b's, and  $bba \notin L$  because there are too many b's.

Prove that the language L cannot be accepted by a finite automaton by using the pumping lemma for regular languages.

### From lecture 2:

FA 
$$M_i = (Q_i, \Sigma, q_i, A_i, \delta_i)$$
  $i = 1, 2$ 

### **Product construction**

Construct FA  $M = (Q, \Sigma, q_0, A, \delta)$  such that

- $-Q = Q_1 \times Q_2$
- $-q_0 = (q_1, q_2)$
- $-\delta((p,q),\sigma) = (\delta_1(p,\sigma),\delta_2(q,\sigma))$
- -A as needed

# Theorem 2.15 (Parallel simulation).

- $-A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ or } q \in A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) \cup L(M_2)$
- $-A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ and } q \in A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$
- $-A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ and } q \notin A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) L(M_2)$

### Exercise 2.27.

Describe decision algorithms to answer each of the following questions.

- a.  $\clubsuit$  Given two FAs  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , are there any strings that are accepted by neither?
- **d.**  $\spadesuit$  Given an FA M accepting a language L, and a string x, is x a prefix of an element of L?
- **g.** Given two FAs  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , is  $L(M_1) \subseteq L(M_2)$ ?

## Exercise 3.21. 4

Consider the following transition table for an NFA with states 1–5, initial state 1 and input alphabet  $\{a,b\}$ . There are no  $\Lambda$ -transitions:

q	$\delta(q,a)$	$\delta(q,b)$
1	$\{1, 2\}$	1
2	{3}	{3}
3	{4}	{4}
4	{5}	$\emptyset$
5	Ø	{5}

- a. Draw a transition diagram of the NFA (note that the accepting states are not specified).
- **b.** Calculate  $\delta^*(1, ab)$ . Hint: first calculate  $\delta^*(1, \Lambda)$ , then  $\delta^*(1, a)$ , then  $\delta^*(1, ab)$ .
- **c.** Calculate  $\delta^*(1, abaab)$ .

# Exercise 3.24. 4

Let  $M=(Q,\Sigma,q_0,A,\delta)$  be an NFA with no  $\Lambda$ -transitions. Show that for every  $q\in Q$  and every  $\sigma\in \Sigma$ ,  $\delta^*(q,\sigma)=\delta(q,\sigma)$ .

# Exercise 3.33. 4

Give an example of a regular language L containing  $\Lambda$  that cannot be accepted by any NFA having only one accepting state and no  $\Lambda$ -transitions, and show that your answer is correct.

## Exercise 3.22.

A transition table is given for an NFA with seven states.

q	$\delta(q,a)$	$\delta(q,b)$	$\delta(q, \Lambda)$
1	Ø	Ø	{2}
2	{3}	Ø	{5}
3	Ø	{4}	Ø
4	{4}	Ø	{1}
5	Ø	{6,7}	Ø
6	{5}	Ø	Ø
7	Ø	Ø	{1}

Find:

**d.**  $\& \delta^*(1,ba)$ 

Hint: first calculate  $\delta^*(1,\Lambda)$ , then  $\delta^*(1,b)$ , then  $\delta^*(1,ba)$ .

**e.**  $\delta^*(1, ab)$ 

**f.**  $\delta^*(1, ababa)$ 

### Exercise 3.32.

Let  $M=(Q,\Sigma,q_0,A,\delta)$  be an NFA accepting a language L. Assume that there are no transitions to  $q_0$ , that A has only one element,  $q_f$ , and that there are no transitions from  $q_f$ .

**a.** Let  $M_1$  be obtained from M by adding  $\Lambda$ -transitions from  $q_0$  to every state that is reachable from  $q_0$  in M.

(If p and q are states, q is reachable from p if there is a string  $x \in \Sigma^*$  such that  $q \in \delta^*(p, x)$ .)

Describe (in terms of L) the language accepted by  $M_1$ .

**b.** Let  $M_2$  be obtained from M by adding  $\Lambda$ -transitions to  $q_f$  from every state from which  $q_f$  is reachable in M.

Describe (in terms of L) the language accepted by  $M_2$ .

c. Let  $M_3$  be obtained from M by adding both the  $\Lambda$ -transitions in (a) and those in (b).

Describe (in terms of L) the language accepted by  $M_3$ .