Definition (FA)

[deterministic] finite automaton 5-tuple $M = (Q, \Sigma, q_0, A, \delta)$,

- Q finite set *states*;
- Σ finite *input alphabet*;
- $q_0 \in Q$ *initial* state;
- $A \subseteq Q$ *accepting* states;
- $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \to Q$ *transition function*.

[M] D 2.11 Finite automaton

[L] D 2.1 Deterministic finite accepter, has 'final' states

FA $M = (Q, \Sigma, q_0, A, \delta)$

Definition

extended transition function $\delta^* : Q \times \Sigma^* \to Q$, such that

– $\delta^*(q, \Lambda) = q$ for $q \in Q$

– $\delta^*(q, y\sigma) = \delta(\ \delta^*(q, y), \sigma\ )$ for $q \in Q, y \in \Sigma^*, \sigma \in \Sigma$

[M] D 2.12 [L] p.40/1

Theorem

$q = \delta^*(p, w)$ iff there is a path in [the transition graph of] M from p to q with label w.

[L] Th 2.1

Definition

Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, q_0, A, \delta)$ be an FA, and let $x \in \Sigma^*$. The string x is *accepted* by M if $\delta^*(q_0, x) \in A$.
The *language accepted* by $M = (Q, \Sigma, q_0, A, \delta)$ is the set
$L(M) = \{ x \in \Sigma^* \mid x \text{ is accepted by } M \}$

[M] D 2.14 [L] D 2.2

Example

$L = \{ x \in \{a, b\}^* \mid x \text{ ends with } b \text{ and does not contain } aa \}$



$$\neg(P \wedge Q) = \neg P \vee \neg Q$$

$L^c = \{ x \in \{a, b\}^* \mid x \text{ does not end with } b \text{ or contains } aa \}$

Example

$L = \{ x \in \{a, b\}^* \mid x \text{ ends with } b \text{ and does not contain } aa \}$



$$\neg(P \wedge Q) = \neg P \vee \neg Q$$

$L^c = \{ x \in \{a, b\}^* \mid x \text{ does not end with } b \text{ or contains } aa \}$

Construction

FA $M = (Q, \Sigma, q_0, A, \delta)$,

let $M^c = (Q, \Sigma, q_0, Q - A, \delta)$

Theorem

$L(M^c) = \Sigma^* - L(M)$

Proof. . .

Construction

FA $M = (Q, \Sigma, q_0, A, \delta)$,

let $M^c = (Q, \Sigma, q_0, Q - A, \delta)$

Theorem

$L(M^c) = \Sigma^* - L(M)$

Proof. Suppose $x \in L(M^c)$. Then x is accepted by M^c, so it holds that $\delta^*(q_0, x) \in Q - A$, so $\delta^*(q_0, x) \notin A$. Hence, x is not accepted by M, so $x \notin L(M)$, so $x \in \Sigma^* - L(M)$.
Suppose $x \in \Sigma^* - L(M)$. Then $x \notin L(M)$, so x is not accepted by M. Hence, $\delta^*(q_0, x) \notin A$, so $\delta^*(q_0, x) \in Q - A$, so x is accepted by M^c, that is, $x \in L(M^c)$.

Example (Even number of a / ending with b)





Intersection. . .

Example (Even number of a, and ending with b)





FA $M_i = (Q_i, \Sigma, q_i, A_i, \delta_i) \quad i = 1, 2$

Product construction

construct FA $M = (Q, \Sigma, q_0, A, \delta)$ such that
- $Q = Q_1 \times Q_2$
- $q_0 = (q_1, q_2)$
- $\delta(\ (p, q), \sigma) = (\ \delta_1(p, \sigma), \delta_2(q, \sigma)\ )$
- A as needed

Theorem (2.15 Parallel simulation)

- $A = \{(p, q) \mid p \in A_1$ *or* $q \in A_2\}$, *then* $L(M) = L(M_1) \cup L(M_2)$
- $A = \{(p, q) \mid p \in A_1$ *and* $q \in A_2\}$, *then* $L(M) = L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$
- $A = \{(p, q) \mid p \in A_1$ *and* $q \notin A_2\}$, *then* $L(M) = L(M_1) - L(M_2)$

The formal proof of this result does not have to be known for the exam

[M] Sect 2.2

Might not be minimal

Even number of a and ending with b





Exercise 2.11.
Use induction to show that for every $x \in \Sigma^*$ and every $(p, q) \in Q$,
$\delta^*((p, q), x) = (\delta_1^*(p, x), \delta_2^*(q, x))$

The formal proof of this result does not have to be known for the exam

Example: intersection ‘and’ (product construction)



[M] E 2.16

Example: union, contain either *ab* or *bba*



[M] E. 2.18, see also ↪subset construction

Example: union, contain either *ab* or *bba*

Alternative. . .

$L = \{\ w \in \{a, b\}^* \mid w \text{ starts and ends with an } a, \text{ and } |w| \text{ is even } \}$





Regular language is language accepted by an FA.

Theorem

REG is closed under complement, union, intersection and minus.



[M] Fig. 2.28

Pumping lemma for regular languages

Regular language is language accepted by an FA.

Theorem

Suppose L is a language over the alphabet Σ. If L is accepted by a finite automaton M, and if n is the number of states of M, then

$\forall$ *for every $x \in L$*
  satisfying $|x| \geq n$

$\exists$ *there are three strings u, v, and w,*
  such that $x = uvw$ and the following three conditions are true:
  (1) $|uv| \leq n$,
  (2) $|v| \geq 1$

$\forall$ *and (3) for all $m \geq 0$, $uv^m w$ belongs to L*

[M] Thm. 2.29

Pumping lemma for regular languages

In other words:

Theorem

$\forall$ *For every regular language* L
$\exists$ *there exists a constant* $n \geq 1$
such that
$\forall$ *for every* $x \in L$
with $|x| \geq n$
$\exists$ *there exists a decomposition* $x = uvw$
with (1) $|uv| \leq n$,
and (2) $|v| \geq 1$
such that
$\forall$ *(3) for all* $m \geq 0$, $uv^m w \in L$

if $L = L(M)$ then $n = |Q|$.

[M] Thm. 2.29

Pumping lemma for regular languages

In other words:

Theorem

If L *is a regular language,* *then*

$\exists$ *there exists a constant* $n \geq 1$
such that

$\forall$ *for every* $x \in L$
with $|x| \geq n$

$\exists$ *there exists a decomposition* $x = uvw$
with (1) $|uv| \leq n$,
and (2) $|v| \geq 1$
such that

$\forall$ *(3) for all* $m \geq 0$, $uv^m w \in L$

if $L = L(M)$ then $n = |Q|$.

Introduction to Logic: $p \rightarrow q \iff \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$

Pumping lemma for regular languages

Theorem

If

$\forall$ *for every* $n \geq 1$

$\exists$ *there exists* $x \in L$

with $|x| \geq n$

such that

$\forall$ *for every decomposition* $x = uvw$

with (1) $|uv| \leq n$,

and (2) $|v| \geq 1$

$\exists$ *(3) there exists* $m \geq 0$,

such that

$uv^m w \notin L$

then L *is not a regular language.*

[M] Thm. 2.29

Applying the pumping lemma

Example

$L = \{a^i b^i \mid i \geq 0\}$ is not accepted by FA.

[M] E 2.30

Proof: by contradiction.

Assume that L is accepted by FA with n states...

Applying the pumping lemma

Example

$L = \{a^i b^i \mid i \geq 0\}$ is not accepted by FA.

[M] E 2.30

Proof: by contradiction.
Assume that L is accepted by FA with n states.
Take $x = a^n b^n$. Then $x \in L$, and $|x| = 2n \geq n$.
Thus there exists a decomposition $x = uvw$ such that $|uv| \leq n$ with v nonempty, and $uv^m w \in L$ for every m.
Whatever this decomposition is, v consists of a's only. Consider $m = 0$.
Deleting v from the string x will delete a number of a's. So $uv^0 w$ is of the form $a^{n'} b^n$ with $n' < n$.
This string is not in L; a contradiction. ($m \geq 2$ would also yield contradiction)
So, L is not regular.

Applying the pumping lemma

Example

$L = \{a^i b^i \mid i \geq 0\}$ is not accepted by FA.

[M] E 2.30

$AEqB = \{x \in \{a, b\}^* \mid \ n_a(x) = n_b(x) \ \}$

Same argument, or closure properties

FA $M_i = (Q_i, \Sigma, q_i, A_i, \delta_i) \quad i = 1, 2$

Product construction

construct FA $M = (Q, \Sigma, q_0, A, \delta)$ such that
- $Q = Q_1 \times Q_2$
- $q_0 = (q_1, q_2)$
- $\delta(\ (p, q), \sigma) = (\ \delta_1(p, \sigma), \delta_2(q, \sigma)\ )$
- A as needed

Theorem (2.15 Parallel simulation)

- $A = \{(p, q) \mid p \in A_1$ *or* $q \in A_2\}$, *then* $L(M) = L(M_1) \cup L(M_2)$
- $A = \{(p, q) \mid p \in A_1$ *and* $q \in A_2\}$, *then* $L(M) = L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$
- $A = \{(p, q) \mid p \in A_1$ *and* $q \notin A_2\}$, *then* $L(M) = L(M_1) - L(M_2)$

The formal proof of this result does not have to be known for the exam

[M] Sect 2.2

Example

$L = AEqB = \{x \in \{a, b\}^* \mid \ n_a(x) = n_b(x)\ \}$ is not accepted by FA.

[M] E 2.30

Exactly the same argument can be used (verbatim) to prove that $L = AEqB$ is not regular.

We can also apply closure properties of REG to see that $AEqB$ is not regular, as follows.

Assume $AEqB$ is regular. Then also $AnBn = \{a^i b^i \mid i \geq 0\} = AEqB \cap \{a\}^*\{b\}^*$ is regular, as regular languages are closed under intersection.
This is a contradiction, as we just have argued that $AnBn$ is not regular.
Thus, also $AEqB$ is not regular.

Issues:

- Which n? Can I just take $x = aababaabbbab$?
- Which x? Some x may not yield a contradiction.
- Which decomposition uvw? Can I just take $u = a^{10}$, $v = a^{n-10}$, $w = b^n$?
- Which m? Some m may not yield a contradiction.