Compilerconstructie

najaar 2012

http://www.liacs.nl/home/rvvliet/coco/

Rudy van Vliet

kamer 124 Snellius, tel. 071-527 5777 rvvliet(at)liacs.nl

college 1, dinsdag 4 september 2012

Overview

Why this course

It's part of the general background of ^a software engineer

- How do compilers work?
- How do computers work?
- What machine code is generated for certain language constructs?
- Working on ^a non-trivial programming project

After the course

- Know how to build ^a compiler for ^a simplified progr. language
- Know how to use compiler construction tools, such as generators for scanners and parsers
- Be familiar with compiler analysis and optimization techniques

- In class, we discuss the theory using the 'dragon book' by Aho et al.
- The theory is applied in the practicum to build ^a compiler that converts Pascal code to MIPS instructions.

A.V. Aho, M.S. Lam, R. Sethi, and J.D. Ullman, Compilers: Principles, Techniques, & Tools, Addison-Wesley, 2007, ISBN: 978-0-321-49169-5 (international edition).

- In class, we discuss the theory using the 'dragon book' by Aho et al.
- The theory is applied in the practicum to build ^a compiler that converts Pascal code to MIPS instructions.

A.V. Aho, M.S. Lam, R. Sethi, and J.D. Ullman, Compilers: Principles, Techniques, & Tools, Addison-Wesley, 2006, ISBN: 978-0-321-54798-9.

Earlier edition

- Dragon book has been revised in 2006
- In Second edition good improvements are made
	- Parallelism
		- ∗ . . .
		- [∗] Array data-dependence analysis
- First edition may also be used

A.V. Aho, R. Sethi, and J.D. Ullman,

Compilers: Principles, Techniques, and Tools,

Addison-Wesley, 1986, ISBN-10: 0-201-10088-6 / 0-201-10194-7 (international edition).

- Contact
	- Room 124, tel. 071-5275777, rvvliet(at)liacs.nl
	- Course website: http://www.liacs.nl/home/rvvliet/coco Lecture slides, assignments, grades
- Practicum
	- 4 self-contained assignments
	- These assignments are done by groups of two persons
	- Assignments are submitted by e-mail
	- Assistants: Teddy Zhai, Sven van Haastregt

• Grading:

Combination of the grades from the written exam and the practicum

- You need to pass all 4 assignments to obtain ^a grade
- Final grade is only accepted if all grades are \geq 5.5
- Then, you obtain 6 EC

Studying only from the lecture slides may not be sufficient. Relevant book chapters will be given.

(tentative)

- 1. Overview
- 2. Lexical Analysis
- 3. Syntax Analysis Part 1
- 4. Syntax Analysis Part 2
- 5. Assignment 1
- 6. Static Type Checking
- 7. Assignment 2
- 8. Intermediate Code Generation
- 9. Assignment 3
- 10. Code Generation
- 11. Code optimization
- 12. Assignment 4
- 13. Daedalus

Practicum

- Assignment 1: Calculator
- Assignment 2: Parsing & Syntax tree
- Assignment 3: Intermediate code
- Assignment 4: Assembly generation

2 academic hours of Lab session $+$ 3 weeks to complete (except assignment 1)

Short History of Compiler Construction

Formerly 'a mystery', today one of the best known areas of computing

1957 Fortran first compilers

(arithmetic expressions, statements, procedures)

1960 Algol first formal language definition

(grammars in Backus-Naur form, block structure, recursion, . . .)

1970 Pascal user-defined types, virtual machines (P-code) **1985** C++ object-orientation, exceptions, templates 1995 Java just-in-time compilation

We only consider imperative languages

Functional languages (e.g., Lisp) and logical languages (e.g., Prolog) require different techniques.

Compilers and Interpreters

• Compilation:

Translation of ^a program written in ^a source language into ^a semantically equivalent program written in ^a target language

Source Program Input \rightarrow \rightarrow Interpreter ❄ Error messages **Output**

Compilers and Interpreters

- Compiler: Translates source code into machine code, with scanner, parser, . . . , code generator
- Interpreter: Executes source code 'directly', with scanner, parser Statements in, e.g., ^a loop are scanned and parsed again and again

Compilers and Interpreters

- Hybrid compiler (Java):
	- Translation of ^a program written in ^a source language into ^a semantically equivalent program written in an intermediate language (bytecode)
	- Interpretation of intermediate program by virtual machine, which simulates physical machine

Analysis-Synthesis Model of Compilation

There are two parts to compilation:

- Analysis
	- Determines the operations implied by the source program which are recorded in an intermediate representation, e.g., a tree structure
- Synthesis
	- Takes the intermediate representation and translates the operations therein into the target program

Other tools that use A-S Model

- Editors (syntax highlighting, text auto completion)
- Text formatters (LATEX, MS Word)

Compilation flow

17

Character stream:

position = intitial + rate $*$ 60

Lexical Analyser (scanner)

Token stream:

 $\langle {\rm id}, 1\rangle$ $\langle=\rangle$ $\langle {\rm id}, 2\rangle$ $\langle+\rangle$ $\langle {\rm id}, 3\rangle$ $\langle*\rangle$ $\langle 60\rangle$

Token stream:

$$
\langle id,1\rangle \ \langle = \rangle \ \langle id,2\rangle \ \langle + \rangle \ \langle id,3\rangle \ \langle * \rangle \ \langle 60\rangle
$$

Syntax Analyser (parser)

Intermediate code (three-address code):

```
t1 = inttofloat(60)t2 = id3 * t1t3 = id2 + t2id1 = t3
```
Intermediate code (three-address code):

 $t1 = inttofloat(60)$ $t2 = id3 * t1$ $t3 = id2 + t2$ $id1 = t3$

Code Optimizer

 $t1 = id3 * 60.0$ $id1 = id2 + t1$

 $t1 = id3 * 60.0$ $id1 = id2 + t1$

Code Generator

LDF R2, id3 MULF R2, R2, #60.0 LDF R1, id2 ADDF R1, R1, R2 STF id1, R1

The Grouping of Phases

• Front End:

 \subset

scanning, parsing, semantical analysis (source code \rightarrow intermediate representation)

• Back End: code optimizing, code generation (intermediate representation \rightarrow target machine code)

Pentium

SPARC

language-dependent machine-dependent

Passes: Single-Pass Compilers

Phases work in an interleaved way

do

scan token parse token check token generate code for token while (not eof)

Portion of code is generated while reading portion of source program

Passes: Multi-Pass Compilers

Phases are separate 'programs', which run sequentially

$$
\text{characters } \rightarrow \boxed{\text{Scanner}} \rightarrow \text{ tokens } \rightarrow \boxed{\text{Parser}} \rightarrow \text{tree} \\ \rightarrow \boxed{\text{Semantical analyzer}} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \text{code}
$$

Each phase reads from ^a file and writes to ^a new file.

Time vs memory

Why multi-pass?

- If the language is complex
- If portability is important

Today: often two-pass compiler

Compiler-Construction Tools

Software development tools are available to implement one ^o r more compiler phases

- Scanner generators
- Parser generators
- Syntax-directed translation engines
- Automatic code generators
- Data-flow engines

The Structure of our compiler

Syntax directed translation:

The compiler uses the syntactic structure of the language to generate output

What is ^a grammar?

Context-free grammar is ^a 4-tuple with

- A set of tokens (*terminal* symbols)
- A set of *nonterminals* (syntactic variables)
- A set of *productions*: rules how to decompose nonterminals
- A designated start/ symbol (nonterminal)

Example: Context-free grammar for simple expressions:

 $G = (\{$ list, digit $\}, \{ +, -, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}, P$, list with productions P:

$$
list \rightarrow list + digit
$$
\n
$$
list \rightarrow list - digit
$$
\n
$$
list \rightarrow digit
$$
\n
$$
digit \rightarrow 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9
$$

Derivation

Given ^a context-free grammar, we can determine the set of all strings (sequences of tokens) generated by the grammar using derivations:

- We begin with the start symbol
- In each step, we replace one nonterminal in the current form with one of the right-hand sides of ^a production for that nonterminal

Derivation (Example)

 $G = (\{$ list, digit $\}, \{+, -, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}, P$, list $\textit{list} \rightarrow \textit{list} + \textit{digit} \mid \textit{list} - \textit{digit} \mid \textit{digit}$ $\textit{digit} \rightarrow 0 \mid 1 \mid 2 \mid 3 \mid 4 \mid 5 \mid 6 \mid 7 \mid 8 \mid 9$

Example: 9-5+2

$$
\frac{\text{list}}{\Rightarrow} \frac{\text{list}}{\text{list}} - \text{digit}}{\Rightarrow} \frac{\text{list}}{\text{dist}} - \text{digit} + \text{digit}}{\Rightarrow} \frac{\text{digit}}{-\text{digit}} + \text{digit}}{\Rightarrow} 9 - \frac{\text{digit}}{-5 + \text{digit}} \Rightarrow 9 - 5 + \frac{\text{digit}}{-5 + 2}}
$$

This is an example of leftmost derivation, because we replaced the leftmost nonterminal (underlined) in each step

Parse Tree

(derivation tree in FI2)

- The root of the tree is labelled by the start symbol
- Each leaf of the tree is labelled by a terminal (=token) or ϵ $(=empty)$
- Each interior node is labelled by ^a nonterminal
- If node A has children X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n , then there must be a production $A \to X_1 X_2 \dots X_n$

Parse Tree (Example)

Parse tree of the string $9-5+2$ using grammar G

Yield of the parse tree: the sequence of leafs (left to right) Parsing: the process of finding ^a parse tree for ^a given string Language: the set of strings that can be generated by some parse tree

Ambiguity

Consider the following context-free grammar:

 $G' = (\{string\}, \{+, -, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}, P, string)$ with productions P

string \rightarrow string $+$ string $|$ string $-$ string $|0|1|...|9$

This grammar is ambiguous, because more than one parse tree generates the string $9 - 5 + 2$

Ambiguity (Example)

Parse trees of the string $9-5+2$ using grammar G'

Associativity of Operators

By convention

$$
9+5+2 = (9+5)+2
$$

$$
9-5-2 = (9-5)-2
$$
 left associative

In most programming languages:

+, [−], [∗], / are left associative

∗∗, ⁼ are right associative:

$$
a * *b * *c = a * * (b * *c)
$$

$$
a = b = c = a = (b = c)
$$

Precedence of Operators

<code>Consider: 9</code> $+$ 5 \ast 2 Is this $(9+5)*2$ or $9+(5*2)$? Associativity does not resolve this

Precedence of operators: + − ∗ / ❄ increasing precedence

A grammar for arithmetic expressions:

 $\begin{array}{lcl} \mathsf{expr} & \rightarrow & \mathsf{expr} + \mathsf{term} \mid \mathsf{expr} - \mathsf{term} \mid \mathsf{term} \end{array}$ term → term \ast factor | term/factor | factor $factor \rightarrow$ digit $|\text{ (expr)}\rangle$ digit \rightarrow 0 $|$ 1 $|$ \dots $|$ 9

Parse tree for $9+5*2...$

Syntax-Directed Translation

Using the syntactic structure of the language to generate output corresponding to some input

Two techniques:

- Syntax-directed definition
- Translation scheme

Example: translation of infix notation to postfix notation

$$
\begin{array}{c|c}\n & \text{infix} & \text{postfix} \\
\hline\n(9-5)+2 & 95-2+ \\
9-(5+2) & 952+- \\
\end{array}
$$

What is $952 + -3*$?

Syntax-Directed Translation

Using the syntactic structure of the language to generate output corresponding to some input

Two variants:

- Syntax-directed definition
- Translation scheme

Example: translation of infix notation to postfix notation

Simple infix expressions generated by

 $\textsf{expr} \rightarrow \textsf{expr}_1 + \textsf{term} \mid \textsf{expr}_1 - \textsf{term} \mid \textsf{term}$ $term \rightarrow 0 \mid 1 \mid ... \mid 9$

Syntax-Directed Definition

- Uses ^a context-free grammar to specify the syntactic structure of the language
- Associates a set of *attributes* with (non)terminals
- Associates with each production a set of semantic rules for computing values for the attributes
- The attributes contain the translated form of the input after the computations are completed (in example: postfix notation corresponding to subtree)

Syntax-Directed Definition (Example)

Result: annotated parse tree Example: $9-5+2$

Synthesized and Inherited Attributes

An attribute is said to be . . .

- \bullet synthesized if its value at a parse tree node N is determined from attribute values at the children of N (and at N itself)
- \bullet inherited if its value at a parse tree node N is determined from attribute values at the parent of N (and at N itself and its siblings)

We consider synthesized attributes

Depth-First Traversal

- A syntax-directed definition does not impose an evaluation order of the attributes on ^a parse tree
- Different orders might be suitable
- Tree traversal: a specific order to visit the nodes of a tree (always starting from the root node)
- Depth-first traversal
	- Start from root
	- Recursively visit children (in any order)
	- Hence, visit nodes far away from the root as quickly as it can (DF)

A Possible DF Traversal

```
Postorder traversal
```

```
procedure visit (node N)
\left\{ \right.for (each child C of N, from left to right)
  { visit (C);
  }
  evaluate semantic rules at node N;
}
```
Can be used to determine synthesized attributes / annotated parse tree

Translation Scheme

A translation scheme is ^a context-free grammar with semantic actions embedded in the bodies of the productions

Example

$$
rest \rightarrow + term \{print('+')\} \ rest_1
$$

Translation Scheme (Example)

$$
expr \rightarrow expr_1 + term \{print('+')\}
$$

$$
expr \rightarrow expr_1-term \{print('-')\}
$$

 $expr \rightarrow term$

.

$$
term \rightarrow 0 \{print('0')\}
$$

$$
term \rightarrow 1 \{print('1')\}
$$

 $term \rightarrow 9$ {print('9')}

Example: parse tree for $9-5+2$

Implementation requires postorder traversal

Parsing

- Process of determining if ^a string of tokens can be generated by ^a grammar
- For any context-free grammar, there is ^a parser that takes at most $O(n^3)$ time to parse a string of n tokens
- Linear algorithms sufficient for parsing programming languages
- Two methods of parsing:
	- Top-down constructs parse tree from root to leaves
	- Bottom-up constructs parse tree from leaves to root
- Cf. top-down PDA and bottom-up PDA in FI2

Parsing (Top-Down Example)

How to determine parse tree for

for (; expr ; expr)other

Use lookahead: current terminal in input

Predictive Parsing

- Recursive-descent parsing is ^a top-down parsing method:
	- Executes ^a set of recursive procedures to process the input
	- Every nonterminal has one (recursive) procedure parsing the nonterminal's syntactic category of input tokens
- Predictive parsing is ^a special form of recursive-descent parsing:
	- The lookahead symbol unambiguously determines the production for each nonterminal

Predictive Parsing (Example)

```
void stmt()
{ switch (lookahead)
  { case expr:
           match(expr); match(';'); break;
    case if:
           match(if); match('('); match(exp); match(''); stmt();break;
    case for:
           match(for); match('());optexpr(); match(';'); optexpr(); match(';'); optexpr();
           match('); stmt(); break;
    case other;
           match(other); break;
    default:
           report("syntax error");
 }
}
void match(terminal t)
{ if (lookahead==t) lookahead = nextTerminal;
  else report("syntax error");
}
```
Using FIRST

- Let α be string of grammar symbols
- FIRST (α) is the set of terminals that appear as first symbols of strings generated from α

Simple example:

 $stmt \rightarrow expr ;$ if (expr)stmt for (optexpr; optexpr; optexpr) stmt other

Right-hand side may start with nonterminal. . .

Using FIRST

- Let α be string of grammar symbols
- FIRST (α) is the set of terminals that appear as first symbols of strings generated from α
- When ^a nontermimal has multiple productions, e.g.,

$$
A \to \alpha \mid \beta
$$

then FIRST(α) and FIRST(β) must be disjoint in order for predictive parsing to work

Compiler constructie

college 1 Overview

Chapters for reading: 1.1, 1.2, 2.1-2.5