From exercise class 2:

## Exercise 2.26.

```
The pumping lemma says that
if M accepts a language L,
and if n is the number of states of M,
then for every x \in L satisfying |x| \ge n, \ldots
```

Show that the statement provides no information if L is finite: If M accepts a finite language L, and n is the number of states of M, then L can contain no strings of length n or greater. From lecture 2:

FA 
$$M_i = (Q_i, \Sigma, q_i, A_i, \delta_i)$$
  $i = 1, 2$ 

#### **Product construction**

Construct FA  $M = (Q, \Sigma, q_0, A, \delta)$  such that  $-Q = Q_1 \times Q_2$   $-q_0 = (q_1, q_2)$   $-\delta((p,q), \sigma) = (\delta_1(p, \sigma), \delta_2(q, \sigma))$ -A as needed

#### Theorem 2.15 (Parallel simulation).

 $-A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ or } q \in A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) \cup L(M_2) \\ -A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ and } q \in A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) \cap L(M_2) \\ -A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ and } q \notin A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) - L(M_2) \\ -A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ and } q \notin A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) - L(M_2) \\ -A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ and } q \notin A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) - L(M_2) \\ -A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ and } q \notin A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) - L(M_2) \\ -A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ and } q \notin A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) - L(M_2) \\ -A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ and } q \notin A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) - L(M_2) \\ -A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ and } q \notin A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) - L(M_2) \\ -A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ and } q \notin A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) - L(M_2) \\ -A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ and } q \notin A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) - L(M_2) \\ -A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ and } q \notin A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) - L(M_2) \\ -A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ and } q \notin A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) - L(M_2) \\ -A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ and } q \notin A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) - L(M_2) \\ -A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ and } q \notin A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) - L(M_2) \\ -A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ and } q \notin A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) - L(M_2) \\ -A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ and } q \notin A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) - L(M_2) \\ -A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ and } q \notin A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) - L(M_2) \\ -A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ and } q \notin A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) + L(M_2) \\ -A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ and } q \notin A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) + L(M_2) \\ -A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ and } q \notin A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) + L(M_2) \\ -A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ and } q \notin A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) + L(M_2) \\ -A = \{(p,q) \mid p \in A_1 \text{ and } q \notin A_2\}, \text{ then } L(M) = L(M_1) + L(M_2) \\ -A = L(M_1) + L(M_2) + L(M_$ 

### Exercise 2.27.

Describe decision algorithms to answer each of the following questions.

- **a.**  $\clubsuit$  Given two FAs  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , are there any strings that are accepted by neither?
- **d.**  $\blacklozenge$  Given an FA *M* accepting a language *L*, and a string *x*, is *x* a prefix of an element of *L*?
- **g.** Given two FAs  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , is  $L(M_1) \subseteq L(M_2)$ ?

# Exercise 2.13. 🐥

For the FA pictured below, show that there cannot be any other FA with fewer states accepting the same language.



#### Exercise 2.17. 🖡

Let L be the language  $AnBn = \{a^n b^n \mid n \ge 0\}.$ 

**a.** Find two distinct strings x and y in  $\{a, b\}^*$  that are not L-distinguishable.

**b.** Find an infinite set of pairwise *L*-distinguishable strings.

# Exercise 2.15. 🐥

Suppose L is a subset of  $\{a, b\}^*$ .

If  $x_0, x_1, \ldots$  is a sequence of distinct strings in  $\{a, b\}^*$ , such that for every  $n \ge 0$ ,  $x_n$  and  $x_{n+1}$  are *L*-distinguishable, does it follow that the strings  $x_0, x_1, \ldots$  are pairwise *L*-distinguishable?

Either give a proof that it does follow,

or find an example of a language L and strings  $x_0, x_1, \ldots$  that represent a counterexample.

**Exercise 2.21.** For each of the following languages  $L \subseteq \{a, b\}^*$ , show that the elements of the infinite set  $\{a^n \mid n \ge 0\}$  are pairwise *L*-distinguishable.

**a.** 
$$\clubsuit$$
  $L = \{a^i b a^{2i} \mid i \ge 0\}$ 

**b.** 
$$\clubsuit \triangleq L = \{a^i b^j a^k \mid k > i + j\}$$

**d.** 
$$\clubsuit$$
  $L = \{a^i b^j \mid j \text{ is a multiple of } i\}$ 

e. 
$$\clubsuit L = \{x \in \{a, b\}^* \mid n_a(x) < 2n_b(x)\}$$

**f.**  $\clubsuit$   $L = \{x \in \{a, b\}^* \mid \text{ no prefix of } x \text{ has more } b$ 's than a's  $\}$ 

**h.**  $L = \{ww \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$ 

7