From lecture 2:

Theorem

Suppose L is a language over the alphabet Σ. If L is accepted by a finite automaton M, and if n is the number of states of M, then

∀   *for every $x \in L$*
      satisfying $|x| \geqslant n$

∃   *there are three string u, v, and w,*
      such that $x = uvw$ and the following three conditions are true:
      (1) $|uv| \leqslant n$,
      (2) $|v| \geqslant 1$

∀   *and (3) for all $i \geqslant 0$, $uv^i w$ belongs to L*

[M] Thm. 2.29

Let L be the set of legal C programs.
$x = \texttt{main}()\{\{\{...\}\}\}$
[M] E 2.33

Prove the following generalization of the pumping lemma, which can sometimes make it unnecessary to break the proof into cases.

If L can be accepted by an FA,
then there is an integer n
such that for any $x \in L$ with $|x| \geqslant n$
and for any way of writing x as $x_1 x_2 x_3$ with $|x_2| = n$,
there are strings u, v and w such that
a. $x_2 = uvw$
b. $|v| \geqslant 1$
c. For every $i \geqslant 0$, $x_1 u v^i w x_3 \in L$

$L = \{\, a^i b^j c^j \mid i \geqslant 1 \text{ and } j \geqslant 0 \,\} \cup \{\, b^j c^k \mid j, k \geqslant 0 \,\}$

– can be pumped, as in the pumping lemma

– is not accepted by FA

[M] E 2.39

Decision problem: problem for which the answer is 'yes' or 'no':

    Given ..., is it true that ...?

    Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$,
    does G contain a Hamiltonian path?

    Given a list of integers $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$,
    is the list sorted?

decidable $\iff$ $\exists$ algorithm that decides

$M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, A)$
membership problem $x \in L(M)$?

Specific to M: Given $x \in \Sigma^*$, is $x \in L(M)$?

Arbitrary M: Given FA M with alphabet Σ, and $x \in \Sigma^*$, is $x \in L(M)$?

Decidable, easy

[M] E 2.34

Theorem

The following two problems are decidable
1. Given an FA M, is L(M) nonempty?
2. Given an FA M, is L(M) infinite?

[M] E 2.34

Lemma

Let M be an FA with n states and let $L = L(M)$.

L is nonempty,
if and only if L contains an element x with $|x| < n$
(at least one such element).

Theorem

The following two problems are decidable
1. Given an FA M, is L(M) nonempty?
2. Given an FA M, is L(M) infinite?

[M] E 2.34

Lemma

Let M be an FA with n states and let $L = L(M)$.

L is infinite,
if and only if L contains an element x with $|x| \geqslant n$
(at least one such element).

Lemma

Let M be an FA with n states and let $L = L(M)$.

L is infinite,
if and only if L contains an element x with $|x| \geqslant n$
(at least one such element).

Lemma

Let M be an FA with n states and let $L = L(M)$.

L contains an element x with $|x| \geqslant n$ (at least one such element)
if and only if L contains an element x with $n \leqslant |x| < 2n$
(at least one such element).

- Give 2-state FA for each of the languages over $\{a, b\}$
    - strings with even number of a's
    - strings with at least one b
- Use the product construction to obtain a 4-state FA for the language of strings with even number of a's or at least one b
- Investigate which states can be merged

From lecture 1:

Example

$L_1 = \{\, x \in \{a, b\}^* \mid x \text{ ends with } aa \,\}$

[M] E. 2.1

Definition

Let L be language over Σ, and let $x, y \in \Sigma^*$.
Then x, y are *distinguishable* wrt L (*L-distinguishable*),
if there exists $z \in \Sigma^*$ with

$\qquad xz \in L$ and $yz \notin L$ $\quad$ or $\quad$ $xz \notin L$ and $yz \in L$

Such z *distinguishes* x and y wrt L.

Equivalent definition:
let $L/x = \{\, z \in \Sigma^* \mid xz \in L \,\}$

x and y are *L-distinguishable* if $L/x \neq L/y$.
Otherwise, they are *L-indistinguishable*.

The strings in a set $S \subseteq \Sigma^*$ are *pairwise L-distinguishable*, if for every pair x, y of distinct strings in S, x and y are *L*-distinguishable.

Definition independent of FAs

[M] D 2.20

From lecture 1:

Example

$L_1 = \{\, x \in \{a, b\}^* \mid x \text{ ends with } aa \,\}$

$S = \{\Lambda, a, aa\}$

Theorem

Suppose $M = (Q, \Sigma, q_0, A, \delta)$ is an FA accepting $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$.

If $x, y \in \Sigma^*$ are L-distinguishable, then $\delta^*(q_0, x) \neq \delta^*(q_0, y)$.

For every $n \geqslant 2$, if there is a set of n pairwise L-distinguishable strings in Σ^*, then Q must contain at least n states.

Proof. . .

[M] Thm 2.21

From lecture 1:

Example

$L_1 = \{\, x \in \{a, b\}^* \mid x \text{ ends with } aa \,\}$

$S = \{\Lambda, a, aa\}$

$L = \{aa, aab\}^*\{b\}$

[M] E 2.22

$L = \{aa, aab\}^*\{b\}$

[M] E 2.22

[M] E. 2.24

[M] E. 2.24

Theorem

For every language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$,
if there is an infinite set S of pairwise L-distinguishable strings,
then L cannot be accepted by a finite automaton.

Proof. . .

[M] Thm 2.26

$Pal = \{x \in \{a, b\}^* \mid x = x^r\}$