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- Many of the constructions are pedestrian
- Need for an abstract framework
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## Motivation

## Stream Differential Equations

Example (Constant Streams)

$$
a^{\omega}: \mathbb{R}^{\omega} \quad a_{0}^{\omega}=a \quad\left(a^{\omega}\right)^{\prime}=a^{\omega}
$$

Example (Point-wise Stream Addition)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \oplus: \mathbb{R}^{\omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\omega} \\
& (s \oplus t)_{0}=s_{0}+t_{0} \\
& (s \oplus t)^{\prime}=s^{\prime} \oplus t^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example (Stream of Positive Numbers)

$$
s: \mathbb{R}^{\omega} \quad s_{0}=1 \quad s^{\prime}=1^{\omega} \oplus s
$$

## Point-wise Positive Streams

## Example (Predicate Transformer)

$$
\Phi\left(P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\omega}\right)=\left\{s \in \mathbb{R}^{\omega} \mid s_{0}>0 \wedge s^{\prime} \in P\right\}
$$

- $\Phi$ monotone
- Greatest fixed point $\nu \Phi$ exists
- $s \in \nu \Phi$ iff $s$ is point-wise greater than 0


## Positive Numbers are Greater Than 0
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## Inference Rule

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi:=s \in \nu \Phi \\
\frac{\Delta, \varphi \vdash \varphi}{\Delta \vdash \varphi} \text { (Löb) }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Positive Numbers are Greater Than 0

(Def. of $s) \frac{\overline{\vdash 1>0}}{\vdash s_{0}>0}$
(Next) $\frac{1-\left(s_{0}>0\right)}{\vdash}$

$$
\frac{\overline{>\vdash \vdash \varphi}}{\mapsto \varphi \vdash(s \in \nu \Phi)}(\mathbf{P r})
$$

$$
\rightarrow \varphi \vdash\left(s_{0}>0 \wedge s^{\prime} \in \nu \Phi\right)(\text { Step })
$$

## Inference Rule

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\Delta \vdash(s \in \Phi(\nu \Phi))}{\Delta \vdash s \in \nu \Phi} \text { (Step) } \\
\Phi(P)=\left\{s \in \mathbb{R}^{\omega} \mid s_{0}>0 \wedge s^{\prime} \in P\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Inference Rule

$$
\frac{\Delta \vdash \varphi \wedge \psi}{\Delta \vdash(\varphi \wedge \psi)}(\text { preserves } \wedge)
$$

## Positive Numbers are Greater Than 0



Inference Rule

$$
\frac{\Delta \vdash \varphi}{\Delta \vdash \varphi} \text { (Next) }
$$

## Positive Numbers are Greater Than 0

(Def. of $s) \frac{\overline{\vdash 1>0}}{\vdash s_{0}>0}$
(Next) $\frac{\vdash\left(s_{0}>0\right)}{\vdash-y^{2}}$

$$
\frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bullet}\left(s_{0}>0 \wedge s^{\prime} \in \nu \Phi\right)}{\vdash s \in \nu \Phi \bar{\prime}} \text { (Löb) } \text { (Step) }
$$

Inference Rule

$$
s: \mathbb{R}^{\omega}
$$

$$
s_{0}=1 \quad s^{\prime}=1^{\omega} \oplus s
$$

## Positive Numbers are Greater Than 0



## Inference Rule

$$
\frac{C \text { compatible } \quad \Delta \vdash t \in C(\nu \Phi)}{\Delta \vdash t \in \nu \Phi} \text { (C compatible) }
$$

## Positive Numbers are Greater Than 0



## Inference Rule

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{C \text { compatible } \Delta \vdash t \in C(\nu \Phi)}{\Delta \vdash t \in \nu \Phi} \text { (C compatible) } \\
C\left(P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\omega}\right)=\left\{1^{\omega} \oplus s \mid s \in P\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Positive Numbers are Greater Than 0



## Inference Rule

$$
\frac{\varphi \in \Delta}{\Delta \vdash \varphi}(\operatorname{Pr})
$$

Idea

## Extending a Logic

- Given a logic $\mathcal{L}$ with formulas $\varphi$ and provability $\Gamma \mid \Delta \vdash \varphi$
- Construct a new logic $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ with the same propositional and first-order connectives, ...
- .... and a new connective , the later modality, that fulfils the axioms for the later modality ...


## Rules for the later modality

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\Gamma \mid \Delta \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma \mid \Delta \vdash \varphi} \text { (Next) } \frac{\Gamma \mid \Delta \vdash(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)}{\Gamma \mid \Delta \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \rightarrow \psi} \text { (Mon) } \\
\frac{\Gamma \mid \Delta, \Delta \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma \mid \Delta \vdash \varphi} \text { (Löb) }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Extending a Logic

- Given a logic $\mathcal{L}$ with formulas $\varphi$ and provability $\Gamma \mid \Delta \vdash \varphi$
- Construct a new logic $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ with the same propositional and first-order connectives, ...
- .... and a new connective , the later modality, that fulfils the axioms for the later modality ...
- .... and enables coinductive predicates and up-to techniques


## Rules for coinductive predicates and up-to techniques

$$
\frac{\Gamma \mid \Delta \vdash(s \in \Phi(\nu \Phi))}{\Gamma \mid \Delta \vdash s \in \nu \Phi} \text { (Step) }
$$

$$
\frac{C \text { is } \Phi \text {-compatible } \quad \Gamma \mid \Delta \vdash t \in C(\nu \Phi)}{\Gamma \mid \Delta \vdash t \in \nu \Phi} \text { (Up-to) }
$$

## Setup

## Fibrations

- Fibrations provide abstraction of first- (and higher-)order logic
- B - Category of typed contexts and terms
- $\mathbf{E}$ - Category of formulas with variables typed in $\mathbf{B}$
- $p: \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ - functor that assigns to a formula its context
- Set-based predicates: Pred $\rightarrow$ Set
- Quantitative predicates: qPred $\rightarrow$ Set
- Syntactic logic over syntactic terms: $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$
- Set-indexed families (dependent types): $\operatorname{Fam}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow$ Set
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## Example
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## Example: Quantitative Predicates

## Category of quantitative predicates

qPred $= \begin{cases}\text { objects: } & (X, \delta) \text { with } X \in \text { Set and } \delta: X \rightarrow[0,1] \\ \text { morphisms: } & f:(X, \delta) \rightarrow(Y, \gamma) \text { if } f: X \rightarrow Y \text { in Set } \\ & \text { and } \delta \leq \gamma \circ f\end{cases}$

Products and Exponents
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## Example: Quantitative Predicates

Category of quantitative predicates
qPred $= \begin{cases}\text { objects: } & (X, \delta) \text { with } X \in \text { Set and } \delta: X \rightarrow[0,1] \\ \text { morphisms: } & f:(X, \delta) \rightarrow(Y, \gamma) \text { if } f: X \rightarrow Y \text { in Set } \\ & \text { and } \delta \leq \gamma \circ f\end{cases}$
Reindexing along $u: X \rightarrow Y$ gives fibration qPred $\rightarrow$ Set

$$
u^{*}(Y, \gamma)=(X, \lambda x \cdot \gamma(u(x)))
$$

Products and Exponents

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\delta \times \gamma)(x)=\min \{\delta(x), \gamma(x)\} \\
\left(\gamma^{\delta}\right)(x)= \begin{cases}1, & \delta(x) \leq \gamma(x) \\
\gamma(x), & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Coinductive Predicates

Predicate lifting $G$ of behaviour functor $F$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E} \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{E}
\end{aligned}
$$

commutes and $G$ preserves Cartesian morphisms.
Predicate transformer for coalgebra $c: X \rightarrow F X$

$$
\Phi:=\mathbf{E}_{X} \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{E}_{F X} \xrightarrow{c^{*}} \mathbf{E}_{X}
$$

Coinductive predicate
Final coalgebra $\xi: \nu \Phi \rightarrow \Phi(\nu \Phi)$ for $\Phi$

## $\omega^{\mathrm{op}}$-Diagrams in Fibrations

Category of Descending Chains

$$
\overline{\mathbf{C}}=\left[\omega^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{C}\right]=\text { "category of functors } \omega^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} "
$$



$$
\overline{\mathbf{E}}_{X}:=\overline{\mathbf{E}}_{K_{X}} \cong \overline{\mathbf{E}_{X}}
$$

If $\Phi$ preserves $\omega^{\text {op }}$ _limits, then maps $A \rightarrow \nu \Phi$ in $\mathbf{E}_{X}$ can be given by maps $K_{A} \rightarrow \overleftarrow{\Phi}$ in $\overline{\mathbf{E}}_{X}$

## $\omega^{\mathrm{op}}$-Diagrams in Fibrations

## Category of Descending Chains

$$
\overline{\mathbf{C}}=\left[\omega^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{C}\right]=\text { "category of functors } \omega^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} "
$$

Constant-Index Chains

$$
\overline{\mathbf{E}}_{X}:=\overline{\mathbf{E}}_{K_{X}} \cong \overline{\mathbf{E}_{X}}
$$

If $\sigma \in \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{X}$, then $p\left(\sigma_{n}\right)=\bar{p}(\sigma)_{n}=\left(K_{X}\right)_{n}=X$.
The final chain $\overleftarrow{\Phi} \in \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{X}$

$$
\overleftarrow{\Phi}:=\mathbf{1} \stackrel{!}{\longleftarrow} \Phi(\mathbf{1}) \stackrel{\Phi(!)}{\longleftarrow} \Phi^{2}(\mathbf{1}) \stackrel{\Phi^{2}(!)}{\longleftarrow} \Phi^{3}(\mathbf{1}) \stackrel{\cdots}{\longleftarrow}
$$

If $\Phi$ preserves $\omega^{\text {op }}$-limits, then maps $A \rightarrow \nu \Phi$ in $\mathbf{E}_{X}$ can be given by maps $K_{A} \rightarrow \overleftarrow{\Phi}$ in $\overline{\mathbf{E}}_{X}$

## Greater-Than-0 Example

Example (Predicate lifting and coinductive predicate)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F: \text { Set } \rightarrow \text { Set } & G: \text { Pred } \rightarrow \text { Pred } \\
F=\mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{Id} & G(X, P)=(F X,\{(a, x) \mid a>0 \wedge x \in P\})
\end{array}
$$

Predicate transformer

$$
\Phi=\langle\mathrm{hd}, \mathrm{tl}\rangle^{*} \circ G
$$

Coinductive predicate

$$
\nu \Phi \subseteq \Phi(\nu \Phi)
$$

Given a descending chain $\sigma \in \overline{\operatorname{Pred}}_{X}$, we define

## Greater-Than-0 Example

## Example (Predicate lifting and coinductive predicate)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F: \text { Set } \rightarrow \text { Set } & G: \text { Pred } \rightarrow \text { Pred } \\
F=\mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{Id} & G(X, P)=(F X,\{(a, x) \mid a>0 \wedge x \in P\})
\end{array}
$$

Predicate transformer

$$
\Phi=\langle\mathrm{hd}, \mathrm{tl}\rangle^{*} \circ G
$$

Coinductive predicate

$$
\nu \Phi \subseteq \Phi(\nu \Phi)
$$

## Example (Notation)

Given a descending chain $\sigma \in \overline{\operatorname{Pred}}_{X}$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vdash \sigma:=\overline{\mathbf{1}}_{X} \sqsubseteq \sigma \\
x \bar{\in} \sigma:=\sigma^{K_{\{x\}}} \\
\left.\vdash s \bar{\epsilon} \overleftarrow{\Phi} \Longleftrightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N} . s \in \overleftarrow{\Phi}_{n} \stackrel{T h m}{\Longleftrightarrow} s \in \nu \Phi \Longleftrightarrow s \text { there exists } \overline{\mathbf{1}}_{X} \rightarrow \sigma\right) \\
\Longleftrightarrow \forall \text { greater t. } 0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Later Modality

## Theorem

For each $c \in \overline{\mathbf{B}}$, there is a fibred functor ${ }^{c}: \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{c} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{c}$.

- ${ }^{c}$ preserves fibred finite products
- ${ }^{c}$ preserves all fibred limits if $p$ is a bifibration
- there is a natural transformation next ${ }^{c}: \mathrm{Id} \Rightarrow{ }^{c}$
$\square$
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## Associated proof rules

$\frac{f: \tau \rightarrow \sigma}{\downarrow f: \downarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma}$ (Mon) $\frac{f: \tau \rightarrow \sigma}{\mathrm{next}^{c} \circ f: \tau \rightarrow{ }^{c} \sigma}$ (Next)

## The Löb Rule

## Theorem

If $p: \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ has fibred finite limits and exponents, then also $\bar{p}: \overline{\mathbf{E}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{B}}$ does.
Notation: for $\sigma, \tau \in \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{c}$ have $\sigma^{\tau} \in \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{c}$.

## Theorem

For every $\sigma \in \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{c}$ there is a unique map in $\overline{\mathbf{E}}_{c}$, dinatural in $\sigma$,

$$
\text { löb }_{\sigma}^{c}: \sigma^{c}{ }^{\sigma} \rightarrow \sigma \text {. }
$$
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## The Löb Rule

## Theorem

If $p: \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ has fibred finite limits and exponents, then also $\bar{p}: \overline{\mathbf{E}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{B}}$ does.
Notation: for $\sigma, \tau \in \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{c}$ have $\sigma^{\tau} \in \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{c}$.

## Theorem

For every $\sigma \in \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{c}$ there is a unique map in $\overline{\mathbf{E}}_{c}$, dinatural in $\sigma$,

$$
\mathrm{löb}_{\sigma}^{c}: \sigma^{c} \sigma \rightarrow \sigma .
$$

Associated proof rule

$$
\frac{f: \tau \times{ }^{c} \sigma \rightarrow \sigma}{\text { löb }_{\sigma}^{c} \circ \lambda f: \tau \rightarrow \sigma} \text { (Löb) }
$$

## Steps on the Final Chain

Theorem
$\overleftarrow{\Phi}=(\bar{\Phi} \overleftarrow{\Phi})$, where $:={ }^{K_{X}}$

## Steps on the Final Chain

Theorem
$\overleftarrow{\Phi}=(\bar{\Phi} \overleftarrow{\Phi})$, where $:={ }^{K_{X}}$
Associated proof rule

$$
\xlongequal[f: \tau \rightarrow \overleftarrow{\Phi}]{f: \tau \rightarrow(\bar{\Phi} \overleftarrow{\Phi})} \text { (Step) }
$$

## Up-To Techniques

Theorem
For $T: \mathbf{E}_{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{X}$ and $\rho: T \Phi \Rightarrow \Phi T$, there is $\overleftarrow{\rho}: \bar{T} \overleftarrow{\Phi} \rightarrow \overleftarrow{\Phi}$

## Up-To Techniques

Theorem
For $T: \mathbf{E}_{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{X}$ and $\rho: T \Phi \Rightarrow \Phi T$, there is $\overleftarrow{\rho}: \bar{T} \overleftarrow{\Phi} \rightarrow \overleftarrow{\Phi}$
Associated proof rule

$$
\frac{f: \tau \rightarrow \bar{T} \overleftarrow{\Phi} \quad \rho: T \Phi \Rightarrow \Phi T(T \text { compatible })}{\overleftarrow{\rho} \circ f: \tau \rightarrow \overleftarrow{\Phi}} \text { (Up-to) }
$$

## Quantifiers (Products \& Coproducts)

Theorem
If for $u: I \rightarrow J$ in $\mathbf{B}$ the coproduct $\coprod_{u}: \mathbf{E}_{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{J}$ along $u$ exists, then the coproduct $\coprod_{\bar{u}}: \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{I} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{J}$ along $\bar{u}: K_{I} \rightarrow K_{J}$ is given by $\overline{\coprod_{u}}$. Similarly, the product $\prod_{\bar{u}}$ along $\bar{u}$ is given by $\overline{\prod_{u}}$.
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## Theorem

If for $u: I \rightarrow J$ in $\mathbf{B}$ the coproduct $\coprod_{u}: \mathbf{E}_{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{J}$ along $u$ exists, then the coproduct $\coprod_{\bar{u}}: \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{I} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{J}$ along $\bar{u}: K_{I} \rightarrow K_{J}$ is given by $\overline{\coprod_{u}}$. Similarly, the product $\prod_{\bar{u}}$ along $\bar{u}$ is given by $\overline{\prod_{u}}$.

## Associated proof rule

Let $\pi: I \times J \rightarrow I$, and write $W=\bar{\pi}^{*}$ for weakening $W: \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{I} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{I \times J}$ and $\forall_{J}=\prod_{\bar{\pi}}: \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{I \times J} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{I}$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
f: W \tau \longrightarrow \sigma \\
\hline \stackrel{f}{f}: \quad \tau \longrightarrow \forall_{J} \sigma
\end{gathered}
$$

## Conclusion

## Related Systems

- Parameterised coinduction - only for lattices; works on fixed points
- CIRC - cyclic proof system for coinductive predicates; hard to understand and hand-crafted
- Cyclic proof systems - purely syntactic (??), hence have to be hand-crafted; rely on global correctness conditions
- (Bisimulation) Games - also rely on global parity conditions; proof steps in presented system can be seen as challenge-response pairs
- Step-indexed relations - instance of this and the framework by Birkedal et al.


## Extensions and Future Directions

- Preprint: ArXiv 1802.07143
- Publication with more examples etc. under review
- Extend to larger ordinals; the CCC result is already general, the results about the final chain need work:

$$
(\nabla)_{\alpha}=\lim _{\beta<\alpha} \sigma_{\beta}
$$

- Properly apply to motivating, syntactic example; possibly by automatically extracting a syntactic logic
- Can we construct other recursive proof systems in fibrations? (Later with clocks, cyclic proof systems, ...)

Thank you very much for your attention!
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$$

General Exponentials
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Intuition from Kripke models

$$
W, w \vDash \varphi \rightarrow \psi \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \forall w \leq v . W, v \vDash \varphi \text { implies } W, v \vDash \psi
$$

Implication for sequences of formulas
Let $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}_{n \in \omega^{\text {op }}}$ and $\left\{\psi_{n}\right\}_{n \in \omega^{\text {op }}}$ be sequences of formulas. Define

$$
(\psi \Rightarrow \varphi)_{n}:=\bigwedge_{m \leq n} \psi_{m} \rightarrow \varphi_{n}
$$

## General Exponentials

The exponential object of $\sigma, \tau \in \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{c}$ is given by the end

$$
\left(\tau^{\sigma}\right)(n)=\int_{m \leq n}\left(c(m \leq n)^{*} \tau(m)\right)^{c(m \leq n)^{*} \sigma(m)}
$$

## Recursive Logic

## Later Modality

## Theorem

For each $c \in \overline{\mathbf{B}}$, there is a fibred functor ${ }^{c}: \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{c} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{c}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left({ }^{c} \sigma\right)_{0} & =\mathbf{1}_{c_{0}} \\
\left({ }^{c} \sigma\right)_{n+1} & =c(n \leq n+1)^{*}\left(\sigma_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- ${ }^{c}$ preserves fibred finite products
- ${ }^{c}$ preserves all fibred limits if $p$ is a bifibration
- there is a natural transformation next ${ }^{c}: \mathrm{Id} \Rightarrow{ }^{c}$

Associated proof rules

## Later Modality

## Theorem

For each $c \in \overline{\mathbf{B}}$, there is a fibred functor ${ }^{c}: \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{c} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{c}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left({ }^{c} \sigma\right)_{0} & =\mathbf{1}_{c_{0}} \\
\left({ }^{c} \sigma\right)_{n+1} & =c(n \leq n+1)^{*}\left(\sigma_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- ${ }^{c}$ preserves fibred finite products
- ${ }^{c}$ preserves all fibred limits if $p$ is a bifibration
- there is a natural transformation next ${ }^{c}: \mathrm{Id} \Rightarrow{ }^{c}$

Associated proof rules

$$
\frac{f: \tau \rightarrow\left({ }^{c} \sigma\right) \times\left({ }^{c} \sigma^{\prime}\right)}{\check{f}: \tau \rightarrow{ }^{c}\left(\sigma \times \sigma^{\prime}\right)} \quad \frac{f: \tau \rightarrow \sigma}{\operatorname{next}^{c} \circ f: \tau \rightarrow{ }^{c} \sigma}
$$

