## Fundamentele Informatica 3

voorjaar 2015

http://www.liacs.leidenuniv.nl/~vlietrvan1/fi3/

## Rudy van Vliet

kamer 124 Snellius, tel. 071-527 5777 rvvliet(at)liacs(dot)nl

college 8, 30 maart 2015

- 8. Recursively Enumerable Languages8.5. Not Every Language is Recursively Enumerable
  - 9. Undecidable Problems
  - 9.1. A Language That Can't Be Accepted, and a Problem That Can't Be Decided

Huiswerkopgave 2, inleverdatum 31 maart 2015, 13:45 uur

## **Chomsky hierarchy**

3	reg. languages	FA	reg. grammar	reg. expression
2	cf. languages	PDA	cf. grammar	
1	cs. languages	LBA	cs. grammar	
0	re. languages	TM	unrestr. grammar	

$$S_3 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq S_1 \subseteq \mathcal{R} \subseteq S_0$$

 $(modulo \Lambda)$ 

# 8.5. Not Every Language is Recursively Enumerable

From Fundamentele Informatica 1:

Definition 8.23.

A Set A of the Same Size as B or Larger Than B

Two sets A and B, either finite or infinite, are the same size if there is a bijection  $f:A\to B$ .

A is larger than B if some subset of A is the same size as B but A itself is not.

From Fundamentele Informatica 1:

## Definition 8.24. Countably Infinite and Countable Sets

A set A is countably infinite (the same size as  $\mathbb{N}$ ) if there is a bijection  $f: \mathbb{N} \to A$ , or a list  $a_0, a_1, \ldots$  of elements of A such that every element of A appears exactly once in the list.

A is countable if A is either finite or countably infinite.

## Theorem 8.25.

Every infinite set has a countably infinite subset, and every subset of a countable set is countable.

## Proof...

(proof of second claim is Exercise 8.35...)

## **Example 8.26.** The Set $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is Countable

$$\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} = \{(i,j) \mid i,j \in \mathbb{N}\}\$$

although  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  looks much bigger than  $\mathbb{N}$ 

$$(0,0)$$
  $(0,1)$   $(0,2)$   $(0,3)$  ...  $(1,0)$   $(1,1)$   $(1,2)$   $(1,3)$  ...  $(2,0)$   $(2,1)$   $(2,2)$   $(2,3)$  ...  $(3,0)$   $(3,1)$   $(3,2)$   $(3,3)$  ...

## Example 8.28.

A Countable Union of Countable Sets Is Countable

$$S = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} S_i$$

Same construction as in Example 8.26, but...

## Example 8.29. Languages Are Countable Sets

$$L \subseteq \mathbf{\Sigma}^* = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{\Sigma}^i$$

Two ways to list  $\Sigma^*$ 

$$L\subseteq \mathbf{\Sigma}^*$$

## **Some** Crucial features of any encoding function e:

- 1. It should be possible to decide algorithmically, for any string  $w \in \{0,1\}^*$ , whether w is a legitimate value of e.
- 2. A string w should represent at most one Turing machine with a given input alphabet  $\Sigma$ , or at most one string z.
- 3. If w = e(T) or w = e(z), there should be an algorithm for decoding w.

## **Assumptions:**

- 1. Names of the states are irrelevant.
- 2. Tape alphabet  $\Gamma$  of every Turing machine T is subset of infinite set  $S = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots\}$ , where  $a_1 = \Delta$ .

## **Definition 7.33.** An Encoding Function

Assign numbers to each state:

$$n(h_a) = 1$$
,  $n(h_r) = 2$ ,  $n(q_0) = 3$ ,  $n(q) \ge 4$  for other  $q \in Q$ .

Assign numbers to each tape symbol:

$$n(a_i) = i$$
.

Assign numbers to each tape head direction:

$$n(R) = 1$$
,  $n(L) = 2$ ,  $n(S) = 3$ .

**Definition 7.33.** An Encoding Function (continued)

For each move m of T of the form  $\delta(p,\sigma)=(q,\tau,D)$ 

$$e(m) = 1^{n(p)} 01^{n(\sigma)} 01^{n(q)} 01^{n(\tau)} 01^{n(D)} 0$$

We list the moves of T in some order as  $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_k$ , and we define

$$e(T) = e(m_1)0e(m_2)0...0e(m_k)0$$

If  $z=z_1z_2\ldots z_j$  is a string, where each  $z_i\in\mathcal{S}$ ,

$$e(z) = {0 \choose 1}^{n(z_1)} 0 1^{n(z_2)} 0 \dots 0 1^{n(z_j)} 0$$

Example 8.30. The Set of Turing Machines Is Countable

Let  $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$  be set of Turing machines with input alphabet  $\Sigma$ There is injective function  $e: \mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \to \{0,1\}^*$ (e is encoding function)

Hence (...), set of recursively enumerable languages is countable

### Exercise 8.41.

For each case below, determine whether the given set is countable or uncountable. Prove your answer.

- **a0.** The set of all one-element subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- **a1.** The set of all two-element subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- **a.** The set of all three-element subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- **b.** The set of all finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Example 8.31.** The Set  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  Is Uncountable

Hence, because  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\{0,1\}^*$  are the same size, there are uncountably many languages over  $\{0,1\}$ 

**Example 8.31.** The Set  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  Is Uncountable (continued)

No list of subsets of  $\mathbb N$  is complete, i.e., every list  $A_0,A_1,A_2,\ldots$  of subsets of  $\mathbb N$  leaves out at least one.

Take

$$A = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid i \notin A_i\}$$

## **Example 8.31.** The Set $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ Is Uncountable (continued)

$$A = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid i \notin A_i\}$$

$$A_0 = \{0, 2, 5, 9, \ldots\}$$

$$A_1 = \{1, 2, 3, 8, 12, \ldots\}$$

$$A_2 = \{0, 3, 6\}$$

$$A_3 = \emptyset$$

$$A_4 = \{4\}$$

$$A_5 = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \ldots\}$$

$$A_6 = \{8, 16, 24, \ldots\}$$

$$A_7 = \mathbb{N}$$

$$A_8 = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, \ldots\}$$

$$A_9 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n > 12\}$$
...

Hence, there are uncountably many subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 8.32.** Not all languages are recursively enumerable. In fact, the set of languages over  $\{0,1\}$  that are not recursively enumerable is uncountable.

### Proof...

(including Exercise 8.38)

## Exercise 8.38.

Show that is S is uncountable and T is countable, then S-T is uncountable.

Suggestion: proof by contradiction.

## Theorem 8.25.

Every infinite set has a countably infinite subset, and every subset of a countable set is countable.

## Proof...

(proof of second claim is Exercise 8.35...)

## Part of a slide from lecture 5

**Theorem 8.9.** For every language  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ ,

ullet L is recursively enumerable if and only if there is a TM enumerating L,

## 9. Undecidable Problems

9.1. A Language That Can't Be Accepted, and a Problem That Can't Be Decided

**Definition 8.1.** Accepting a Language and Deciding a Language

A Turing machine T with input alphabet  $\Sigma$  accepts a language  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ ,

if L(T) = L.

T decides L, if T computes the characteristic function  $\chi_L: \Sigma^* \to \{0,1\}$ 

A language L is recursively enumerable, if there is a TM that accepts L,

and L is recursive, if there is a TM that decides L.

**Example 8.31.** The Set  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  Is Uncountable

Hence, because  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\{0,1\}^*$  are the same size, there are uncountably many languages over  $\{0,1\}$ 

**Example 8.31.** The Set  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  Is Uncountable (continued)

No list of subsets of  $\mathbb N$  is complete, i.e., every list  $A_0,A_1,A_2,\ldots$  of subsets of  $\mathbb N$  leaves out at least one.

Take

$$A = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid i \notin A_i\}$$

## **Example 8.31.** The Set $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ Is Uncountable (continued)

$$A = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid i \notin A_i\}$$

$$A_0 = \{0, 2, 5, 9, \dots\}$$

$$A_1 = \{1, 2, 3, 8, 12, \dots\}$$

$$A_2 = \{0, 3, 6\}$$

$$A_3 = \emptyset$$

$$A_4 = \{4\}$$

$$A_5 = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \dots\}$$

$$A_6 = \{8, 16, 24, \dots\}$$

$$A_7 = \mathbb{N}$$

$$A_8 = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, \dots\}$$

$$A_9 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n > 12\}$$
...

Hence, there are uncountably many subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

## Set-up of Example 8.31:

- 1. Start with list of all subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ :  $A_0, A_1, A_2, \ldots$ , each one associated with specific element of  $\mathbb{N}$  (namely i)
- 2. Define another subset A by:  $i \in A \iff i \notin A_i$
- 3. Conclusion: for all i,  $A \neq A_i$ Hence, contradiction Hence, there are uncountably many subsets of  $\mathbb N$

Set-up of constructing language that is not RE:

- 1. Start with list of all RE languages over  $\{0,1\}$  (which are subsets of  $\{0,1\}^*$ ):  $L(T_0),L(T_1),L(T_2),\ldots$  each one associated with specific element of  $\{0,1\}^*$
- 2. Define another language L by:  $x \in L \iff x \notin (\text{language that } x \text{ is associated with})$
- 3. Conclusion: for all i,  $L \neq L(T_i)$ Hence, L is not RE

	$e(T_0)$	$e(T_1)$	$e(T_2)$	$e(T_3)$	$e(T_4)$	$e(T_5)$	$e(T_6)$	$e(T_7)$	$e(T_8)$	$e(T_9)$
$L(T_0)$	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
$L(T_1)$	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
$L(T_2)$	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
$L(T_3)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$L(T_4)$	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
$L(T_5)$	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
$L(T_6)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
$L(T_7)$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$L(T_8)$	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
$L(T_9)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
• • •										

	$e(T_0)$	$e(T_1)$	$e(T_2)$	$e(T_3)$	$e(T_4)$	$e(T_5)$	$e(T_6)$	$e(T_7)$	$e(T_8)$	$e(T_9)$	
$L(T_0)$	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	
$L(T_1)$	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	
$L(T_2)$	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	
$L(T_3)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
$L(T_4)$	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
$L(T_5)$	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	
$L(T_6)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
$L(T_7)$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
$L(T_8)$	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	
$L(T_9)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
• • •											
NSA	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	

Hence, NSA is not recursively enumerable.

## **Some** Crucial features of any encoding function e:

- 1. It should be possible to decide algorithmically, for any string  $w \in \{0,1\}^*$ , whether w is a legitimate value of e.
- 2. A string w should represent at most one Turing machine with a given input alphabet  $\Sigma$ , or at most one string z.
- 3. If w = e(T) or w = e(z), there should be an algorithm for decoding w.

Set-up of constructing language NSA that is not RE:

- 1. Start with list of all RE languages over  $\{0,1\}$  (which are subsets of  $\{0,1\}^*$ ):  $L(T_0), L(T_1), L(T_2), \ldots$  each one associated with specific element of  $\{0,1\}^*$  (namely  $e(T_i)$ )
- 2. Define another language NSA by:  $e(T_i) \in NSA \iff e(T_i) \notin L(T_i)$
- 3. Conclusion: for all i,  $NSA \neq L(T_i)$ Hence, NSA is not RE

Set-up of constructing language NSA that is not RE:

- 1. Start with collection of all RE languages over  $\{0,1\}$  (which are subsets of  $\{0,1\}^*$ ):  $\{L(T) \mid \mathsf{TM}\ T\}$  each one associated with specific element of  $\{0,1\}^*$  (namely e(T))
- 2. Define another language NSA by:  $e(T) \in NSA \iff e(T) \notin L(T)$
- 3. Conclusion: for all TM T,  $NSA \neq L(T)$ Hence, NSA is not RE

Set-up of constructing language L that is not RE:

- 1. Start with list of all RE languages over  $\{0,1\}$  (which are subsets of  $\{0,1\}^*$ ):  $L(T_0),L(T_1),L(T_2),\ldots$  each one associated with specific element of  $\{0,1\}^*$  (namely  $x_i$ )
- 2. Define another language L by:  $x_i \in L \iff x_i \notin L(T_i)$
- 3. Conclusion: for all i,  $L \neq L(T_i)$ Hence, L is not RE

Every infinite list  $x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots$  of different elements of  $\{0, 1\}^*$  yields language L that is not RE

## **Definition 9.1.** The Languages *NSA* and *SA*

Let

$$\mathit{NSA} = \{e(T) \mid T \text{ is a TM, and } e(T) \notin L(T)\}$$
  $\mathit{SA} = \{e(T) \mid T \text{ is a TM, and } e(T) \in L(T)\}$  (NSA and SA are for "non-self-accepting" and "self-accepting.")

## **Some** Crucial features of any encoding function e:

- 1. It should be possible to decide algorithmically, for any string  $w \in \{0,1\}^*$ , whether w is a legitimate value of e.
- 2. A string w should represent at most one Turing machine with a given input alphabet  $\Sigma$ , or at most one string z.
- 3. If w = e(T) or w = e(z), there should be an algorithm for decoding w.

**Theorem 9.2.** The language NSA is not recursively enumerable. The language SA is recursively enumerable but not recursive.

Proof...

## Exercise 9.2.

Describe how a universal Turing machine could be used in the proof that SA is recursively enumerable.