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A slide from lecture 9

Definition 9.6. Reducing One Decision Problem to Another, and Reducing One Language to Another

Suppose $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are decision problems. We say $P_{1}$ is reducible to $P_{2}\left(P_{1} \leq P_{2}\right)$

- if there is an algorithm
- that finds, for an arbitrary instance $I$ of $P_{1}$, an instance $F(I)$ of $P_{2}$,
- such that
for every $I$ the answers for the two instances are the same, or $I$ is a yes-instance of $P_{1}$
if and only if $F(I)$ is a yes-instance of $P_{2}$.

A slide from lecture 9

Theorem 9.7. Suppose $L_{1} \subseteq \Sigma_{1}^{*}, L_{2} \subseteq \Sigma_{2}^{*}$, and $L_{1} \leq L_{2}$. If $L_{2}$ is recursive, then $L_{1}$ is recursive.

Suppose $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are decision problems, and $P_{1} \leq P_{2}$. If $P_{2}$ is decidable, then $P_{1}$ is decidable.

## Proof. . .

A slide from lecture 10

### 9.4. Post's Correspondence Problem

Instance:

| 10 |
| :---: |
| 101 |


| 01 |
| :---: |
| 100 |



A slide from lecture 10

Instance:

| 10 |
| :---: |
| 101 |$\quad$| 01 |
| :---: |
| 100 |$\quad$| 0 |
| :---: |
| 10 |$\quad$| 100 |
| :---: |
| 0 |

Match:

| 10 | 1 | 01 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101 | 010 | 100 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |

A slide from lecture 10
Definition 9.14. Post's Correspondence Problem
An instance of Post's correspondence problem ( $P C P$ ) is a set

$$
\left\{\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right),\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}\right)\right\}
$$

of pairs, where $n \geq 1$ and the $\alpha_{i}$ 's and $\beta_{i}$ 's are all nonnull strings over an alphabet $\Sigma$.

The decision problem is this:
Given an instance of this type, do there exist a positive integer $k$ and a sequence of integers $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}$, with each $i_{j}$ satisfying $1 \leq i_{j} \leq n$, satisfying

$$
\alpha_{i_{1}} \alpha_{i_{2}} \ldots \alpha_{i_{k}}=\beta_{i_{1}} \beta_{i_{2}} \ldots \beta_{i_{k}}
$$

$i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}$ need not all be distinct.

A slide from lecture 10

Theorem 9.17.
Post's correspondence problem is undecidable.

# 9.5. Undecidable Problems Involving Context-Free Languages 

For an instance

$$
\left\{\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right),\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}\right)\right\}
$$

of PCP, let. . .

CFG $G_{\alpha}$ be defined by productions...

For an instance

$$
\left\{\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right),\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}\right)\right\}
$$

of PCP, let. . .

CFG $G_{\alpha}$ be defined by productions

$$
S_{\alpha} \rightarrow \alpha_{i} S_{\alpha} c_{i} \mid \alpha_{i} c_{i} \quad(1 \leq i \leq n)
$$

Example derivation:
$S_{\alpha} \Rightarrow \alpha_{2} S_{\alpha} c_{2} \Rightarrow \alpha_{2} \alpha_{5} S_{\alpha} c_{5} c_{2} \Rightarrow \alpha_{2} \alpha_{5} \alpha_{1} S_{\alpha} c_{1} c_{5} c_{2} \Rightarrow \alpha_{2} \alpha_{5} \alpha_{1} \alpha_{3} c_{3} c_{1} c_{5} c_{2}$
Unambiguous

For an instance

$$
\left\{\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right),\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}\right)\right\}
$$

of PCP, let. . .

CFG $G_{\alpha}$ be defined by productions

$$
S_{\alpha} \rightarrow \alpha_{i} S_{\alpha} c_{i} \mid \alpha_{i} c_{i} \quad(1 \leq i \leq n)
$$

$\mathrm{CFG} G_{\beta}$ be defined by productions

$$
S_{\beta} \rightarrow \beta_{i} S_{\beta} c_{i} \mid \beta_{i} c_{i} \quad(1 \leq i \leq n)
$$

Example.

Let $I$ be the following instance of PCP:

| 10 |
| :---: |
| 101 |


| 01 |
| :---: |
| 100 |


| 0 |
| :---: |
| 10 |


$G_{\alpha}$ and $G_{\beta} \ldots$

Theorem 9.20.
These two problems are undecidable:

1. CFGNonEmptyIntersection:

Given two CFGs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, is $L\left(G_{1}\right) \cap L\left(G_{2}\right)$ nonempty?
2. IsAmbiguous:

Given a CFG $G$, is $G$ ambiguous?

Proof. . .

Theorem 9.20.
This problem is undecidable:

1. CFGNonEmptyIntersection:

Given two CFGs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, is $L\left(G_{1}\right) \cap L\left(G_{2}\right)$ nonempty?

## Alternative proof. . .

Let CFG $G_{1}$ be defined by productions

$$
S_{1} \rightarrow \alpha_{i} S_{1} \beta_{i}^{r} \quad \mid \quad \alpha_{i} \# \beta_{i}^{r} \quad(1 \leq i \leq n)
$$

Let CFG $G_{2}$ be defined by productions

$$
S_{2} \rightarrow a S_{2} a\left|b S_{2} b\right| a \# a \mid b \# b
$$

Let $T$ be TM, let $x$ be string accepted by $T$, and let

$$
z_{0} \vdash z_{1} \vdash z_{2} \vdash z_{3} \ldots \vdash z_{n}
$$

be 'succesful computation' of $T$ for $x$,
i.e., $z_{0}=q_{0} \Delta x$
and $z_{n}$ is accepting configuration.

Let $T$ be TM, let $x$ be string accepted by $T$, and let

$$
z_{0} \vdash z_{1} \vdash z_{2} \vdash z_{3} \ldots \vdash z_{n}
$$

be 'succesful computation' of $T$ for $x$,
i.e., $z_{0}=q_{0} \Delta x$
and $z_{n}$ is accepting configuration.

Successive configurations $z_{i}$ and $z_{i+1}$ are almost identical; hence the language

$$
\left\{z \# z^{\prime} \# \mid z \text { and } z^{\prime} \text { are config's of } T \text { for which } z \vdash z^{\prime}\right\}
$$

cannot be described by CFG,
cf. $X X=\left\{x x \mid x \in\{a, b\}^{*}\right\}$.

Let $T$ be TM, let $x$ be string accepted by $T$, and let

$$
z_{0} \vdash z_{1} \vdash z_{2} \vdash z_{3} \ldots \vdash z_{n}
$$

be 'succesful computation' of $T$ for $x$,
i.e., $z_{0}=q_{0} \Delta x$
and $z_{n}$ is accepting configuration.

On the other hand, $z_{i} \# z_{i+1}^{r}$ is almost a palindrome, and palindromes can be described by CFG.

## Lemma.

The language
$L_{1}=\left\{z \#\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{r} \# \mid z\right.$ and $z^{\prime}$ are config's of $T$ for which $\left.z \vdash z^{\prime}\right\}$ is context-free.

## Proof. . .

A slide from lecture 1

Example 5.3. A Pushdown Automaton Accepting SimplePal
SimplePal $=\left\{x c x^{r} \quad \mid x \in\{a, b\}^{*}\right\}$


Definition 9.21. Valid Computations of a TM
Let $T=\left(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, q_{0}, \delta\right)$ be a Turing machine.
A valid computation of $T$ is a string of the form

$$
z_{0} \# z_{1}^{r} \# z_{2} \# z_{3}^{r} \ldots \# z_{n} \#
$$

if $n$ is even, or

$$
z_{0} \# z_{1}^{r} \# z_{2} \# z_{3}^{r} \ldots \# z_{n}^{r} \#
$$

if $n$ is odd,
where in either case, \# is a symbol not in $\Gamma$, and the strings $z_{i}$ represent successive configurations of $T$ on some input string $x$, starting with the initial configuration $z_{0}$ and ending with an accepting configuration.

The set of valid computations of $T$ will be denoted by $C_{T}$.

Theorem 9.22.

For a TM $T=\left(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, q_{0}, \delta\right)$,

- the set $C_{T}$ of valid computations of $T$ is the intersection of two context-free languages,
- and its complement $C_{T}^{\prime}$ is a context-free language.


## Proof. . .

## Theorem 9.22.

For a TM $T=\left(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, q_{0}, \delta\right)$,

- the set $C_{T}$ of valid computations of $T$ is the intersection of two context-free languages,
- and its complement $C_{T}^{\prime}$ is a context-free language.

Proof. Let
$L_{1}=\left\{z \#\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{r} \# \mid z\right.$ and $z^{\prime}$ are config's of $T$ for which $\left.z \vdash z^{\prime}\right\}$
$L_{2}=\left\{z^{r} \# z^{\prime} \# \mid z\right.$ and $z^{\prime}$ are config's of $T$ for which $\left.z \vdash z^{\prime}\right\}$
$I=\{z \# \mid z$ is initial configuration of $T\}$
$A=\{z \# \mid z$ is accepting configuration of $T\}$
$A_{1}=\left\{z^{r} \# \mid z\right.$ is accepting configuration of $\left.T\right\}$

$$
C_{T}=L_{3} \cap L_{4}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{3}=I L_{2}^{*}\left(A_{1} \cup\{\wedge\}\right) \\
& L_{4}=L_{1}^{*}(A \cup\{\wedge\})
\end{aligned}
$$

for each of which we can algorithmically construct a CFG

If $x \in C_{T}^{\prime}$ (i.e., $x \notin C_{T}$ ), then. .

If $x \in C_{T}^{\prime}$ (i.e., $x \notin C_{T}$ ), then

1. Either, $x$ does not end with \#

Otherwise, let $x=z_{0} \# z_{1} \# \ldots \# z_{k} \#$
(no reversed strings in this partitioning)
2. Or, for some even $i, z_{i}$ is not configuration of $T$
3. Or, for some odd $i, z_{i}^{r}$ is not configuration of $T$
4. Or $z_{0}$ is not initial configuration of $T$
5. Or $z_{k}$ is neither accepting configuration, nor the reverse of one
6. Or, for some even $i, z_{i} \nvdash z_{i+1}^{r}$
7. Or, for some odd $i, z_{i}^{r} \nvdash z_{i+1}$

If $x \in C_{T}^{\prime}$ (i.e., $x \notin C_{T}$ ), then

1. Either, $x$ does not end with $\#$

Otherwise, let $x=z_{0} \# z_{1} \# \ldots \# z_{k} \#$
2. Or, for some even $i, z_{i}$ is not configuration of $T$
3. Or, for some odd $i, z_{i}^{r}$ is not configuration of $T$
4. Or $z_{0}$ is not initial configuration of $T$
5. Or $z_{k}$ is neither accepting configuration, nor the reverse of one
6. Or, for some even $i, z_{i} \nvdash z_{i+1}^{r}$
7. Or, for some odd $i, z_{i}^{r} \nvdash z_{i+1}$

Hence, $C_{T}^{\prime}$ is union of seven context-free languages, for each of which we can algorithmically construct a CFG

## Corollary.

The decision problem
CFGNonEmptyIntersection:
Given two CFGs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, is $L\left(G_{1}\right) \cap L\left(G_{2}\right)$ nonempty?
is undecidable (cf. Theorem 9.20(1)).
Proof.
Let
AcceptsSomething: Given a TM $T$, is $L(T) \neq \emptyset$ ?
Prove that AcceptsSomething $\leq$ CFGNonEmptyIntersection
Study this result yourself.

Theorem 9.23. The decision problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { CFGGeneratesAll: Given a CFG } G \text { with terminal alphabet } \\
& \Sigma \text {, is } L(G)=\Sigma^{*} \text { ? }
\end{aligned}
$$

is undecidable.

## Proof.

Let
AcceptsNothing: Given a TM $T$, is $L(T)=\emptyset$ ?
Prove that AcceptsNothing $\leq$ CFGGeneratesAll ...
Study this result yourself.

## Undecidable Decision Problems (we have discussed)



## 10. Computable Functions

10.1. Primitive Recursive Functions

Definition 10.1. Initial Functions

The initial functions are the following:

1. Constant functions: For each $k \geq 0$ and each $a \geq 0$, the constant function $C_{a}^{k}: \mathbb{N}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is defined by the formula

$$
C_{a}^{k}(X)=a \quad \text { for every } X \in \mathbb{N}^{k}
$$

## Definition 10.1. Initial Functions

The initial functions are the following:

1. Constant functions: For each $k \geq 0$ and each $a \geq 0$, the constant function $C_{a}^{k}: \mathbb{N}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is defined by the formula

$$
C_{a}^{k}(X)=a \quad \text { for every } X \in \mathbb{N}^{k}
$$

2. The successor function $s: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is defined by the formula

$$
s(x)=x+1
$$

## Definition 10.1. Initial Functions

The initial functions are the following:

1. Constant functions: For each $k \geq 0$ and each $a \geq 0$, the constant function $C_{a}^{k}: \mathbb{N}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is defined by the formula

$$
C_{a}^{k}(X)=a \quad \text { for every } X \in \mathbb{N}^{k}
$$

2. The successor function $s: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is defined by the formula

$$
s(x)=x+1
$$

3. Projection functions: For each $k \geq 1$ and each $i$ with $1 \leq$ $i \leq k$, the projection function $p_{i}^{k}: \mathbb{N}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is defined by the formula

$$
p_{i}^{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)=x_{i}
$$

Definition 10.2. The Operations of Composition and Primitive Recursion

1. Suppose $f$ is a partial function from $\mathbb{N}^{k}$ to $\mathbb{N}$, and for each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq k, g_{i}$ is a partial function from $\mathbb{N}^{m}$ to $\mathbb{N}$.
The partial function obtained from $f$ and $g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{k}$ by composition is the partial function $h$ from $\mathbb{N}^{m}$ to $\mathbb{N}$ defined by the formula

$$
h(X)=f\left(g_{1}(X), g_{2}(X), \ldots, g_{k}(X)\right) \text { for every } X \in \mathbb{N}^{m}
$$

Definition 10.2. The Operations of Composition and Primitive Recursion (continued)
2. Suppose $n \geq 0$ and $g$ and $h$ are functions of $n$ and $n+2$ variables, respectively. (By "a function of 0 variables," we mean simply a constant.)
The function obtained from $g$ and $h$ by the operation of primitive recursion is the function $f: \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined by the formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(X, 0) & =g(X) \\
f(X, k+1) & =h(X, k, f(X, k))
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $X \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ and every $k \geq 0$.

Example 10.5. Addition, Multiplication and Subtraction

$$
\operatorname{Add}(x, y)=x+y
$$

## Definition 10.3. Primitive Recursive Functions

The set $P R$ of primitive recursive functions is defined as follows.

1. All initial functions are elements of $P R$.
2. For every $k \geq 0$ and $m \geq 0$, if $f: \mathbb{N}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{k}$ : $\mathbb{N}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ are elements of $P R$, then the function $f\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{k}\right)$ obtained from $f$ and $g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{k}$ by composition is an element of $P R$.
3. For every $n \geq 0$, every function $g: \mathbb{N}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ in $P R$, and every function $h: \mathbb{N}^{n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ in $P R$, the function $f: \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ obtained from $g$ and $h$ by primitive recursion is in $P R$.

In other words, the set $P R$ is the smallest set of functions that contains all the initial functions and is closed under the operations of composition and primitive recursion.

Example 10.5. Addition, Multiplication and Subtraction

$$
\operatorname{Mult}(x, y)=x * y
$$

Example 10.5. Addition, Multiplication and Subtraction

$$
\operatorname{Sub}(x, y)= \begin{cases}x-y & \text { if } x \geq y \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

$x-y$

