

Fundamentele Informatica 3

voorjaar 2014

<http://www.liaacs.nl/home/rvv11et/f13/>

Rudy van Vliet

kamer 124 Snellius, tel. 071-527 5777
rvvliet(at)liaacs(dot)nl

college 8, 31 maart 2014

- 8. Recursively Enumerable Languages
- 8.5. Not Every Language Is Recursively Enumerable
 - 9. Undecidable Problems
 - 9.1. A Language That Can't Be Accepted, and a Problem That Can't Be Decided

1

**Huiswerkopgave 2,
inleverdatum 1 april 2014, 13:45 uur**

2

[A slide from lecture 7](#)

Chomsky hierarchy

| | | | | |
|---|----------------|------------------|-----|-----------------|
| 3 | reg. languages | reg. grammar | FA | reg. expression |
| 2 | cf. languages | cf. grammar | PDA | |
| 1 | cs. languages | cs. grammar | LBA | |
| 0 | re. languages | unrestr. grammar | TM | |

$$S_3 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq S_1 \subseteq \mathcal{R} \subseteq S_0$$

(modulo Λ)

3

4

From Fundamentele Informatica 1:

From Fundamentele Informatica 1:

Definition 8.23.

A Set A of the Same Size as B or Larger Than B

Two sets A and B , either finite or infinite, are the same size if there is a bijection $f : A \rightarrow B$.

A is larger than B if some subset of A is the same size as B but A itself is not.

5

6

Theorem 8.25.

Every infinite set has a countably infinite subset, and every subset of a countable set is countable.

Proof...

(proof of second claim is Exercise 8.35...)

7

8

Definition 8.24. Countably Infinite and Countable Sets

A set A is *countably infinite* (the same size as \mathbb{N}) if there is a bijection $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$, or a list a_0, a_1, \dots of elements of A such that every element of A appears exactly once in the list.

A is *countable* if A is either finite or countably infinite.

Example 8.26. The Set $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is Countable

$$\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} = \{(i, j) \mid i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

although $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ looks much bigger than \mathbb{N}

| | | | | |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----|
| (0, 0) | (0, 1) | (0, 2) | (0, 3) | ... |
| (1, 0) | (1, 1) | (1, 2) | (1, 3) | ... |
| (2, 0) | (2, 1) | (2, 2) | (2, 3) | ... |
| (3, 0) | (3, 1) | (3, 2) | (3, 3) | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |

Example 8.28.

A Countable Union of Countable Sets Is Countable

$$S = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} S_i$$

Same construction as in Example 8.26, but...

9

Example 8.29. Languages Are Countable Sets

$$L \subseteq \Sigma^* = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \Sigma^i$$

Two ways to list Σ^*

10

A slide from lecture 4

Some crucial features of any encoding function e :

1. It should be possible to decide algorithmically, for any string $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$, whether w is a legitimate value of e .
2. A string w should represent at most one Turing machine with a given input alphabet Σ , or at most one string z .
3. If $w = e(T)$ or $w = e(z)$, there should be an algorithm for decoding w .

11

A slide from lecture 4

Definition 7.33. An Encoding Function

Assign numbers to each state:

$$n(q_0) = 1, n(q_1) = 2, n(q_2) = 3, n(q) \geq 4 \text{ for other } q \in Q.$$

Assign numbers to each tape symbol:

$$n(a_i) = i.$$

Assign numbers to each tape head direction:

$$n(R) = 1, n(L) = 2, n(S) = 3.$$

13

Example 8.30. The Set of Turing Machines Is Countable

Let $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ be set of Turing machines with input alphabet Σ

There is injective function $e : \mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$

(e is encoding function)

Hence (\dots) , set of recursively enumerable languages is countable

15

A slide from lecture 4

Assumptions:

1. Names of the states are irrelevant.
2. Tape alphabet Γ of every Turing machine T is subset of infinite set $S = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots\}$, where $a_1 = \Delta$.

12

A slide from lecture 4

Definition 7.33. An Encoding Function (continued)

For each move m of T of the form $\delta(p, \sigma) = (q, \tau, D)$

$$e(m) = 1^{n(p)}01^{n(\sigma)}01^{n(q)}01^{n(\tau)}01^{n(D)}0$$

We list the moves of T in some order as m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k , and we define

$$e(T) = e(m_1)0e(m_2)0 \dots 0e(m_k)0$$

If $z = z_1z_2 \dots z_j$ is a string, where each $z_i \in S$,

$$e(z) = 01^{n(z_1)}01^{n(z_2)}0 \dots 01^{n(z_j)}0$$

14

Exercise 8.41.

For each case below, determine whether the given set is countable or uncountable. Prove your answer.

- a. The set of all three-element subsets of \mathbb{N} .
- b. The set of all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} .

16

Example 8.31. The Set $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ Is Uncountable

Hence, because \mathbb{N} and $\{0, 1\}^*$ are the same size, there are uncountably many languages over $\{0, 1\}$

17

Example 8.31. The Set $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ Is Uncountable (continued)

No list of subsets of \mathbb{N} is complete, i.e., every list A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots of subsets of \mathbb{N} leaves out at least one.

Take

$$A = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid i \notin A_i\}$$

18

Example 8.31. The Set $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ Is Uncountable (continued)

$$A = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid i \notin A_i\}$$

$$A_0 = \{0, 2, 5, 9, \dots\}$$

$$A_1 = \{1, 2, 3, 8, 12, \dots\}$$

$$A_2 = \{0, 3, 6\}$$

$$A_3 = \{4\}$$

$$A_4 = \{4\}$$

$$A_5 = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \dots\}$$

$$A_6 = \{8, 16, 24, \dots\}$$

$$A_7 = \mathbb{N}$$

$$A_8 = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, \dots\}$$

$$A_9 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n > 12\}$$

$$\dots$$

19

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| $A_0 = \{0, 2, 5, 9, \dots\}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | ... | |
| $A_1 = \{1, 2, 3, 8, 12, \dots\}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | ... |
| $A_2 = \{0, 3, 6\}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ... | |
| $A_3 = \{4\}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... | |
| $A_4 = \{4\}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... | |
| $A_5 = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \dots\}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | ... | |
| $A_6 = \{8, 16, 24, \dots\}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... | |
| $A_7 = \mathbb{N}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ... | |
| $A_8 = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, \dots\}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | ... | |
| $A_9 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n > 12\}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... | |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | |

20

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| $A_0 = \{0, 2, 5, 9, \dots\}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | ... |
| $A_1 = \{1, 2, 3, 8, 12, \dots\}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ... |
| $A_2 = \{0, 3, 6\}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| $A_3 = \emptyset$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| $A_4 = \{4\}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| $A_5 = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \dots\}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| $A_6 = \{8, 16, 24, \dots\}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| $A_7 = \mathbb{N}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ... |
| $A_8 = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, \dots\}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | ... |
| $A_9 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n > 12\}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| $A = \{2, 3, 6, 8, 9, \dots\}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | ... |

Hence, there are uncountably many subsets of \mathbb{N} .

21

Theorem 8.32. Not all languages are recursively enumerable. In fact, the set of languages over $\{0, 1\}$ that are not recursively enumerable is uncountable.

Proof. ...
(including Exercise 8.36)

22

Exercise 8.38.

Show that S is uncountable and T is countable, then $S - T$ is uncountable.

Suggestion: proof by contradiction.

23

Theorem 8.25.

Every infinite set has a countably infinite subset, and every subset of a countable set is countable.

Proof. ...

(proof of second claim is Exercise 8.35...)

24

9. Undecidable Problems

9.1. A Language That Can't Be Accepted, and a Problem That Can't Be Decided

25

A slide from lecture 5:

Definition 8.1. Accepting a Language and Deciding a Language

A Turing machine T with input alphabet Σ accepts a language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ if $L(T) = L$.

T decides L ,

if T computes the characteristic function $\chi_L : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \{0,1\}$

A language L is *recursively enumerable*,

if there is a TM that accepts L ,

and L is *recursive*,

if there is a TM that decides L .

26

Example 8.31. The Set $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ Is Uncountable

Hence, because \mathbb{N} and $\{0,1\}^*$ are the same size, there are uncountably many languages over $\{0,1\}$

27

$A = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid i \notin A_i\}$

$A_0 = \{0,2,5,9,\dots\}$
 $A_1 = \{1,2,3,8,12,\dots\}$
 $A_2 = \{0,3,6\}$
 $A_3 = \emptyset$
 $A_4 = \{4\}$
 $A_5 = \{2,3,5,7,11,\dots\}$
 $A_6 = \{8,16,24,\dots\}$
 $A_7 = \mathbb{N}$
 $A_8 = \{1,3,5,7,9,\dots\}$
 $A_9 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n > 12\}$
 \dots

29

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|
| $A_0 = \{0,2,5,9,\dots\}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | ... |
| $A_1 = \{1,2,3,8,12,\dots\}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | ... |
| $A_2 = \{0,3,6\}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| $A_3 = \emptyset$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| $A_4 = \{4\}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| $A_5 = \{2,3,5,7,11,\dots\}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| $A_6 = \{8,16,24,\dots\}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| $A_7 = \mathbb{N}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ... |
| $A_8 = \{1,3,5,7,9,\dots\}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | ... |
| $A_9 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n > 12\}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| $A = \{2,3,6,8,9,\dots\}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | ... |

Hence, there are uncountably many subsets of \mathbb{N} .

31

Example 8.31. The Set $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ Is Uncountable (continued)

No list of subsets of \mathbb{N} is complete, i.e., every list A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots of subsets of \mathbb{N} leaves out at least one.

Take

$$A = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid i \notin A_i\}$$

28

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|
| $A_0 = \{0,2,5,9,\dots\}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | ... |
| $A_1 = \{1,2,3,8,12,\dots\}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | ... |
| $A_2 = \{0,3,6\}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| $A_3 = \emptyset$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| $A_4 = \{4\}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| $A_5 = \{2,3,5,7,11,\dots\}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ... |
| $A_6 = \{8,16,24,\dots\}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ... |
| $A_7 = \mathbb{N}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ... |
| $A_8 = \{1,3,5,7,9,\dots\}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | ... |
| $A_9 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n > 12\}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| $A = \{2,3,6,8,9,\dots\}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | ... |

30

Set-up of Example 8.31:

1. Start with list of all subsets of \mathbb{N} : A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots , each one associated with specific element of \mathbb{N} (namely i)

2. Define another subset A by:
 $i \in A \iff i \notin A_i$

3. Conclusion: for all i , $A \neq A_i$
Hence, contradiction
Hence, there are uncountably many subsets of \mathbb{N}

32

Set-up of constructing language that is not RE:

1. Start with list of all RE languages over $\{0, 1\}$ (which are subsets of $\{0, 1\}^*$): $L(T_0), L(T_1), L(T_2), \dots$ each one associated with specific element of $\{0, 1\}^*$
2. Define another language L by:
 $x \in L \iff x \notin L(T_i)$ (language that x is associated with)
3. Conclusion: for all i , $L \neq L(T_i)$
Hence, L is not RE

33

| | $e(T_0)$ | $e(T_1)$ | $e(T_2)$ | $e(T_3)$ | $e(T_4)$ | $e(T_5)$ | $e(T_6)$ | $e(T_7)$ | $e(T_8)$ | $e(T_9)$ |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| $L(T_0)$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $L(T_1)$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $L(T_2)$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $L(T_3)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $L(T_4)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $L(T_5)$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $L(T_6)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $L(T_7)$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $L(T_8)$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| $L(T_9)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

34

A slide from lecture 4:

Some crucial features of any encoding function e :

1. It should be possible to decide algorithmically, for any string $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$, whether w is a legitimate value of e .
2. A string w should represent at most one Turing machine with a given input alphabet Σ , or at most one string z .
3. If $w = e(T)$ or $w = e(z)$, there should be an algorithm for decoding w .

35

| | $e(T_0)$ | $e(T_1)$ | $e(T_2)$ | $e(T_3)$ | $e(T_4)$ | $e(T_5)$ | $e(T_6)$ | $e(T_7)$ | $e(T_8)$ | $e(T_9)$ |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| $L(T_0)$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $L(T_1)$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $L(T_2)$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $L(T_3)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $L(T_4)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $L(T_5)$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $L(T_6)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $L(T_7)$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $L(T_8)$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| $L(T_9)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Hence, NSA is not recursively enumerable.

35

Set-up of constructing language NSA that is not RE:

1. Start with list of all RE languages over $\{0, 1\}$ (which are subsets of $\{0, 1\}^*$): $L(T_0), L(T_1), L(T_2), \dots$ each one associated with specific element of $\{0, 1\}^*$ (namely $e(T_i)$)
2. Define another language NSA by:
 $e(T_i) \in NSA \iff e(T_i) \notin L(T_i)$
3. Conclusion: for all i , $NSA \neq L(T_i)$
Hence, NSA is not RE

37

Set-up of constructing language L that is not RE:

1. Start with list of all RE languages over $\{0, 1\}$ (which are subsets of $\{0, 1\}^*$): $L(T_0), L(T_1), L(T_2), \dots$ each one associated with specific element of $\{0, 1\}^*$ (namely x_i)
2. Define another language L by:
 $x_i \in L \iff x_i \notin L(T_i)$
3. Conclusion: for all i , $L \neq L(T_i)$
Hence, L is not RE

Every infinite list x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots of different elements of $\{0, 1\}^*$ yields language L that is not RE

39

Set-up of constructing language NSA that is not RE:

1. Start with **collection** of all RE languages over $\{0, 1\}$ (which are subsets of $\{0, 1\}^*$): $\{L(T) \mid TM T\}$ each one associated with specific element of $\{0, 1\}^*$ (namely $e(T)$)
2. Define another language NSA by:
 $e(T) \in NSA \iff e(T) \notin L(T)$
3. Conclusion: for all TM T , $NSA \neq L(T)$
Hence, NSA is not RE

38

Definition 9.1. The Languages NSA and SA

Let

$$NSA = \{e(T) \mid T \text{ is a TM, and } e(T) \notin L(T)\}$$

$$SA = \{e(T) \mid T \text{ is a TM, and } e(T) \in L(T)\}$$

(NSA and SA are for "non-self-accepting" and "self-accepting")

40

A slide from lecture 4:

Some crucial features of any encoding function e :

1. It should be possible to decide algorithmically, for any string $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$, whether w is a legitimate value of e .
2. A string w should represent at most one Turing machine with a given input alphabet Σ , or at most one string z .
3. If $w = e(T)$ or $w = e(z)$, there should be an algorithm for decoding w .

41

Theorem 9.2. The language NSA is not recursively enumerable. The language SA is recursively enumerable but not recursive.

Proof . . .

42

Decision problem: problem for which the answer is 'yes' or 'no':

Given . . . , is it true that . . . ?

yes-instances of a decision problem:
instances for which the answer is 'yes'

no-instances of a decision problem:
instances for which the answer is 'no'

43

44

Decision problems

Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, does G contain a Hamiltonian path?

Given a list of integers x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , is the list sorted?

45

46

Self-Accepting: Given a TM T , does T accept the string $e(T)$?

Three languages corresponding to this problem:

1. SA: strings representing yes-instances
2. NSA: strings representing no-instances
3. . . .

Self-Accepting: Given a TM T , does T accept the string $e(T)$?

Three languages corresponding to this problem:

1. SA: strings representing yes-instances
2. NSA: strings representing no-instances
3. P' : strings not representing instances

47

For general decision problem P , an encoding e of instances I as strings $e(I)$ over alphabet Σ is called *reasonable*, if

1. there is algorithm to decide if string over Σ is encoding $e(I)$
2. e is injective
3. string $e(I)$ can be decoded

48

A slide from lecture 4:

Some Crucial Features of any encoding function e :

1. It should be possible to decide algorithmically, for any string $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$, whether w is a legitimate value of e .
2. A string w should represent at most one Turing machine with a given input alphabet Σ , or at most one string z .
3. If $w = e(T)$ or $w = e(z)$, there should be an algorithm for decoding w .

49

For general decision problem P and reasonable encoding e ,

$$Y(P) = \{e(I) \mid I \text{ is yes-instance of } P\}$$

$$N(P) = \{e(I) \mid I \text{ is no-instance of } P\}$$

$$E(P) = Y(P) \cup N(P)$$

$E(P)$ must be recursive

50