4343SNACS

Social Network Analysis for Computer Scientists ()
No. of responses = 28

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

General -

You took this course as part of the programme:

n=28

Astronomy	0%
Biology	0%
Bio-Pharmaceutical Sciences	0%
Chemistry	0%
Computer Science	85.7%
Computer Science & Biology (I&B)	0%
Computer Science & Economics (I&E)	0%
Farmacie	0%
ICT in Business	7.1%
Industrial Ecology	0%
Life Science and Technology	0%
Mathematics	0%
Media Technology	0%
Molecular Science and Technology	0%
Physics	0%
Science Based Business	3.6%
Science Communication and Society	0%
Science Education	0%
Statistical Science	0%
other	0%

You took this course as a:

n=24

Bachelor student	0%
Double bachelor student	0%
Minor student	0%
Keuzevak/Elective student	0%
Pre-master student	0%
Master student	87.5%
Exchange student	12.5%
other	0%

Course specific

My **overall rating** of this course on a scale from 1 to 10 (Dutch grading scale) is:



n=26
av.=8,2
dev.=0,8

In terms of difficulty, the course is:



n=26
av.=3,5
dev.=0,6

I estimate the percentage of class sessions which I attended well-prepared to be approximately:



n=26

Compared to the prescribed study load (1 EC = 28 hours including contact hours), the actual study load of this course is:



n=23
av.=3,7
dev.=0,8

The lecturer(s)/instructor(s) in this course teach(es) well.



n=25
av.=4,6
dev.=0,6

The instructional methods (lecture, seminar, practical/lab work, etc.) are well matched to what you should have mastered at the end of the course.



n=25
av.=4,3
dev.=0,7

The provision of information for this course was good.



n=25
av.=4,4
dev.=0,7

I could easily get an answer to my questions outside of normal contact hours.



n=25
av.=4,2
dev.=0,8

The course had the right structure/organisation to enable me to master its contents.



n=24
av.=4
dev.=0,8

This course stimulates you to pursue your studies.



n=25
av.=4,2
dev.=0,8

Study material

The course materials (literature, video, podcast, Blackboard, etc.) are well matched to what you should have mastered at the end of the course.



n=25
av.=3,9
dev.=0,8

The quality of the study material was good.



n=26
av.=4,2
dev.=0,8

The study material on Blackboard or other digital learning environment was easy to access.



n=24
av.=4,3
dev.=0,8

There was sufficient practice material available.



n=25
av.=3,9
dev.=1,1

Testing

I knew in good time what I had to master for a test.



n=23
av.=4,2
dev.=0,7

The assessments (method and contents) are well matched to what you should have mastered at the end of the course.



n=20
av.=4,1
dev.=0,7

The time period set for completing the test was sufficient.



n=21
av.=3,8
dev.=1

Dr. F.W. Takes -

The lecturer explained the subject matter well



n=25
av.=4,6
dev.=0,6

The lecturer presented the lectures in an inspirational way



n=25
av.=4,6
dev.=0,7

What is your **overall opinion** (report grade) about this lecturer?



n=25
av.=9
dev.=1

Profile

Subunit: FWN master Computer Science 17-18
Responsible for modules: 4343SNACS
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) Social Network Analysis for Computer Scientists

Values used in the profile line: Mean

Course specific



My **overall rating** of this course on a scale from 1 to 10 (Dutch grading scale) is:	1	10	n=26	av.=8,2 md=8,0 dev.=0,8
In terms of difficulty, the course is:	too easy	too difficult	n=26	av.=3,5 md=3,0 dev.=0,6
Compared to the prescribed study load (1 EC = 28 hours including contact hours), the actual study load of this course is:	too light	too heavy	n=23	av.=3,7 md=4,0 dev.=0,8
The lecturer(s)/instructor(s) in this course teach(es) well.	totally disagree	totally agree	n=25	av.=4,6 md=5,0 dev.=0,6
The instructional methods (lecture, seminar, practical/lab work, etc.) are well matched to what you should have mastered at the end of the course.	totally disagree	totally agree	n=25	av.=4,3 md=4,0 dev.=0,7
The provision of information for this course was good.	totally disagree	totally agree	n=25	av.=4,4 md=5,0 dev.=0,7
I could easily get an answer to my questions outside of normal contact hours.	totally disagree	totally agree	n=25	av.=4,2 md=4,0 dev.=0,8
The course had the right structure/organisation to enable me to master its contents.	totally disagree	totally agree	n=24	av.=4,0 md=4,0 dev.=0,8
This course stimulates you to pursue your studies.	totally disagree	totally agree	n=25	av.=4,2 md=4,0 dev.=0,8

Study material



The course materials (literature, video, podcast, Blackboard, etc.) are well matched to what you should have mastered at the end of the course.	totally diagree	totally agree	n=25	av.=3,9 md=4,0 dev.=0,8
The quality of the study material was good.	totally disagree	totally agree	n=26	av.=4,2 md=4,0 dev.=0,8
The study material on Blackboard or other digital learning environment was easy to access.	totally disagree	totally agree	n=24	av.=4,3 md=4,5 dev.=0,8
There was sufficient practice material available.	totally disagree	totally agree	n=25	av.=3,9 md=4,0 dev.=1,1

Testing



I knew in good time what I had to master for a test.	totally disagree	totally agree	n=23	av.=4,2 md=4,0 dev.=0,7
The assessments (method and contents) are well matched to what you should have mastered at the end of the course.	totally disagree	totally agree	n=20	av.=4,1 md=4,0 dev.=0,7
The time period set for completing the test was sufficient.	totally disagree	totally agree	n=21	av.=3,8 md=4,0 dev.=1,0

Dr. F.W. Takes -

The lecturer explained the subject matter well	totally disagree	totally agree	n=25	av.=4,6 md=5,0 dev.=0,6

The lecturer presented the lectures in an inspirational way	totally disagree	totally agree	n=25 av.=4,6 md=5,0 dev.=0,7
What is your **overall opinion** (report grade) about this lecturer?	1	10	n=25 av.=9,0 md=9,0 dev.=1,0

Remarks about this subject / explanation of your answers to the previous questions -

Strong points are:

Great communication
Approachable lecturer
~~very motivated~~

no test, but assignments and a final report which requires many of the explained methods to be applied.

→ The teacher knows what he is teaching and perfected its contents.

The lectures of the lecturer and the assignments are/were super interesting.

~~Very nice setup of the course~~

- has the class under control
- strict

Very interesting course, although time consuming. A lot of freedom and interesting challenging assignments to introduce the courses. One of the more interesting courses I've done.

- ~~Extra~~ inclusion of students
- Son very good presentations

inspirational, speaks to me (good examples).
Good guidance throughout the final project.

We started lightly but thoroughly preparing by understanding the basics. We then moved on to more advanced research. Pace was good, a huge amount of info learned in a manageable manner. Good to learn from other students.

Great at giving lectures. Very thorough with everything. Always there to answer questions. Fair.

learned a lot, good pace, was really nice that he was fast with responding on questions and delivering of grades.

interesting course

Interesting course, liked the practical assignments and seminar style lectures.

Interesting, fun project

The professor has a good teaching skill.

Weak points are (please suggest improvements):

~~it~~ requires a lot more time compared to other courses

there could be some more help with the paper.

Too bad the majority of theory is presented by students, since i think they can not explain it nearly as good and are often not that good at presenting/explaining.

- ~~Read~~ heavy workload

With the assignment planning there had to be a relatively quick switch between finishing assignment 2 and having a lot of your project figured out for the paper peer review. While the time was enough, if you haven't given your project much thought it ends uniquely stressful.

- too long presentation
- too little information about presentation style

Assignments (especially 2) were somewhat large.

Too many TO-DO's! It's heavy.

too much presentations of students, could better be combined with lecturer ~~class~~ presentation

student presentation took too much time. ~~I can not learn some~~

I feel like the amount of ~~work~~ work required to complete the course was ~~a little~~ too high. I hardly had time to work on other courses when the seminar was finishing.

If I had followed the default number of courses this semester, I would not have had the time to complete the assignments & project

Assignments are heavy.