

# Impartial Games (cont.)

Orson R. L. Peters, Universiteit Leiden.



**Universiteit  
Leiden**  
The Netherlands

Discover the world at Leiden University

## Impartial games recap

- Both Left and Right have the same options in any game state.
- Examples: CRAM, NIM.
- No outcome classes  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$ , only  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$ .
- $\mathbf{G}$  is its own negative  $\implies \mathbf{G} + \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{0}$ .
- $\mathbf{G}$ 's canonical form must have equal left and right sides.
- Sums of impartial games are impartial.

## Impartial games are infinitesimals

- $\mathbf{G}$  is all-small (either  $\mathbf{0}$  or has both left and right options).
- Therefore  $\mathbf{G}$  must be an infinitesimal (or  $\mathbf{0}$ ).
- A small subset, e.g.  $\uparrow > \mathbf{0}$  therefore  $\uparrow \in \mathcal{L}$  and thus not impartial.

## The values of the game Nim

- Let the value of an NIM heap of size  $n$  be the *number*  $*n$ .
- Define  $*0 = 0$  and  $*1 = *$ .
- In general for  $k > 0$ :

$$*k = \{0, *, *2, \dots, *(k-1) \mid 0, *, *2, \dots, *(k-1)\}$$

- Why? By strong induction if we assume that  $*k$  is the correct value of the heap of size  $k$  for all  $k < n$  then the above definition works for  $n$ , as it perfectly shows the options you'd have when facing a heap of size  $n$ .

## The canonical forms of the game Nim 4 | 14

- Our earlier definition is also immediately in canonical form.
- Why? Crucial lemma  $i \neq j \implies *i \parallel *j$ .
- Studying  $*i - *j$  we find that
  - it can't be  $\mathbf{0}$  ( $*i \neq *j$ ),
  - $*i - *j = *i + *j$  is a sum of two impartial games,
  - thus it must be in  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $*i$  and  $*j$  are incomparable.
- All options of  $*n$  are mutually incomparable, thus no dominated options.
- All options of  $*n$  are incomparable with  $*n$  itself, thus no reversible options.

## Values of number games

- If an impartial game  $G$ 's options are all numbers we can compute its value.
- Define *minimum excluded value*  $\text{mex } S = \min (\mathbb{N}_0 \setminus S)$ .
- E.g.  $\text{mex}\{1, 2\} = 0$ ,  $\text{mex}\{0, 1, 2, 4, 7\} = 3$ .

### Theorem 7.7

If impartial game  $G = \{ *l_1, *l_2, \dots, *l_k \mid G^L \}$  and  $\text{mex}\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_k\} = n$  then the value of  $G$  is  $*n$ , and thus its canonical form is  $\{0, *, \dots, *(n-1) \mid 0, *, \dots, *(n-1)\}$ .

## Proof of values of nimber games

1. We prove  $\mathbf{G} - *n = \mathbf{0}$ . Note that  $\mathbf{G} - *n = \mathbf{G} + *n$ .
2. Also note that  $*a$  is always an option of  $*b$  when  $a < b$ .
3.  $*k$  with  $k < n$  is an option of both  $\mathbf{G}$  and  $*n$ .
4.  $*k$  with  $k = n$  is never an option.
5.  $*k$  with  $k > n$  is only an option in  $\mathbf{G}$ .
6. If the first player moves by playing  $*k$  with  $k < n$  in either game the second player can answer with  $*k$  in the other game, giving  $*k + *k = \mathbf{0}$ .
7. If the first player moves by playing  $*k$  with  $k > n$  (which must be in  $\mathbf{G}$ ) the second player can answer locally by playing  $*n$ , giving  $*n + *n = \mathbf{0}$ .

## All impartial games are nimber games 7 | 14

1. Let's assume all impartial games born on days  $0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$  are nimber games.
2. All options of impartial games born on day  $n$  are impartial games born on some day  $k < n$ .
3. By our assumption this means all options of all impartial games born on day  $n$  are nimber games.
4. Therefore all impartial games born on day  $n$  are nimber games.
5.  $0$  is a nimber game, and is the only impartial game at day  $0$ .
6. Therefore we have a base case and can apply strong induction.



## A massive corollary

- All impartial games are number games.
- All impartial games thus have a value of  $*n$  for some  $n$ .
- **All impartial games are equivalent to some Nim heap of size  $n$ .**
- We can find out  $n$  by applying Theorem 7.7 in a bottom-up style.
- This value is also called the *nim-value* or *Grundy-value* of impartial game  $G$ .
- This is notated  $\mathcal{G}(G) = n$  where  $G = *n$ .
- But what about the value of  $G + H$ ?

## Exclusive-or

The exclusive-OR (notated  $\oplus$ ) is an operation on non-negative integers that

- is commutative,  $\mathbf{a} \oplus \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b} \oplus \mathbf{a}$ ,
- is associative,  $(\mathbf{a} \oplus \mathbf{b}) \oplus \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{a} \oplus (\mathbf{b} \oplus \mathbf{c})$ ,
- has zero as its additive identity,  $\mathbf{a} \oplus \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{a}$  and
- is its own inverse,  $\mathbf{a} \oplus \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$ .

It's defined by creating a new number that has **1** exactly where the binary digits of **a** and **b** differ and **0** everywhere else.

## Adding Nim heaps

- Let  $\mathbf{G} = \text{NIM}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \dots, \mathbf{k})$ . Then  $\mathbf{G}$  is a  $\mathcal{P}$ -position if and only if  $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{a} \oplus \mathbf{b} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$ .
- Why? We provide a winning strategy.
- If  $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{0}$  you either already lost (all heaps are  $\mathbf{0}$ ) or you are forced to increase the value above zero.
- When  $\mathbf{q} > \mathbf{0}$  the game is never over, and we can always bring  $\mathbf{q}$  back to  $\mathbf{0}$  in one move.
- Thus  $\mathbf{q} > \mathbf{0}$  are the  $\mathcal{N}$ -positions and  $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{0}$  are the  $\mathcal{P}$ -positions.

## Forced increase of XOR-sum

If  $\mathbf{a} \oplus \mathbf{b} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$  and it's your turn WLOG you must reduce  $\mathbf{a}$  to  $\mathbf{a}'$  but now the XOR-sum has value

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbf{a}' \oplus \mathbf{b} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{k} = \\
 & \mathbf{0} \oplus \mathbf{a}' \oplus \mathbf{b} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{k} = \\
 & (\mathbf{a} \oplus \mathbf{a}) \oplus \mathbf{a}' \oplus \mathbf{b} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{k} = \\
 & \mathbf{a} \oplus \mathbf{a}' \oplus (\mathbf{a} \oplus \mathbf{b} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{k}) = \\
 & \mathbf{a} \oplus \mathbf{a}' \oplus \mathbf{0} = \\
 & \mathbf{a} \oplus \mathbf{a}'
 \end{aligned}$$

which is bigger than zero for any legal move  $\mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{a}'$ .

Impartial Games (cont.)

## Reducing the XOR-sum to zero in one move 12 | 14

- Let  $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{a} \oplus \mathbf{b} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{k} > \mathbf{0}$ .
- Note that there must exist a  $\mathbf{m} \in \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \dots, \mathbf{k}\}$  such that the leftmost bit set of  $\mathbf{q}$  is also set in  $\mathbf{m}$ .
- Therefore that leftmost bit becomes  $\mathbf{0}$  in  $\mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{q}$ , and thus  $\mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{q} < \mathbf{m}$ .
- This means that reducing the heap of size  $\mathbf{m}$  to  $\mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{q}$  is a legal move, and that our new value is (taking into account commutativity):

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{a} \oplus \mathbf{b} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{k}) \oplus \mathbf{q} &= \\ \mathbf{q} \oplus \mathbf{q} &= \\ \mathbf{0} &\end{aligned}$$

## Adding games

- We know that the game  $\text{NIM}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} \oplus \mathbf{b})$  is always a  $\mathcal{P}$ -position since  $\mathbf{a} \oplus \mathbf{b} \oplus (\mathbf{a} \oplus \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{0}$ .
- Going back to Nimbers this allows us to derive

$$\begin{aligned} *a + *b + *(a \oplus b) &= 0 \\ *a + *b + *(a \oplus b) + *(a \oplus b) &= *(a \oplus b) \\ *a + *b &= *(a \oplus b). \end{aligned}$$

- Which generalizes to

$$*a + *b + *c + \cdots + *n = *(a \oplus b \oplus c \oplus \cdots \oplus n).$$

## Wrapping up

- Impartial games have a direct equivalence with NIM games.
- An impartial game has *nim-value* or *Grundy-value*  
 $\mathcal{G}(G) = k$  iff  $G = *k$ .
- $\mathcal{G}(G) = \text{mex}\{\mathcal{G}(H) \mid H \in G^L\}$ .
- Through adding NIM games we can see that

$$*a + *b + \dots + *n = *(a \oplus b \oplus \dots \oplus n).$$

- If  $G$ ,  $H$ , and  $J$  are impartial games, then  $G = H + J$  iff  
 $\mathcal{G}(G) = \mathcal{G}(H) \oplus \mathcal{G}(J)$ .