

1

## Lecture 3

## "Laws" of computation



**Common algebraic properties of basic operations** 

• operations? Think "addition" and "multiplication"

• laws: commutativity and associativity

• these laws applicable to union and intersection

## "Laws" of computation



**Common algebraic properties of basic operations** 

- operations? Think "addition" and "multiplication"
- when you just multiply or add multiple numbers, it does not matter how you group them
- the order does not matter either
- **Definition.** Operation  $\Box (x \Box y, e.g. x \cdot y, or x + y)$  is *associative* if

 $x \square y \square z = (x \square y) \square z = x \square (y \square z)$ 

## "Laws" of computation



**Common algebraic properties of basic operations** 

- operations? Think "addition" and "multiplication"
- when you just multiply or add multiple numbers, it does not matter how you group them
- the order does not matter either
- **Definition.** Operation  $\Box (x \Box y, e.g. x \cdot y, or x + y)$  is *associative* if

 $x \Box y \Box z = (x \Box y) \Box z = x \Box (y \Box z)$ 

• **Definition.** Operation is <u>*commutative*</u> if

 $x \square y = y \square x$  (for all x,y)





# operation which is associative but not commutative? operation which is not commutative but is associative?

## Examples 1



- commutative but not associative:
  - *averaging*:  $a \diamond b := (a + b)/2$
  - NAND!
- associative but not commutative:
  - matrix multiplication,
  - "last argument"
  - function composition

 $(1 \land 2) \land 3 = \frac{3}{2} = \frac{3}{4}$  $1 \land (2 \land 3) = 1 \land \frac{3}{2} = \frac{3}{4}$  $1 \land (2 \land 3) = 1 \land \frac{3}{2} = \frac{3}{2} = \frac{7}{4}$ 

## Examples 2



- commutative but not associative:
  - *averaging*:  $a \diamond b := (a + b)/2$
  - NAND!
- associative but not commutative:
  - matrix multiplication
  - "last argument" :=  $L(a_1b) = 5 \iff a_2b = b$
  - function composition

$$\{ 1 \ 2 \ 2 \ 3 \ = \ (1 \ 2) \ 5 \ = \ 2 \ 5 \ = \ 3 \ \} AsociATIVE$$

$$= \ 1 \ (1 \ 5) \ = \ 1 \ 5 \ = \ 3 \ \} AsociATIVE$$

# Question:



- Union, intersection, set difference, symmetric difference
- Associative? Commutative?



# Question:



- Union, intersection, set difference, symmetric difference
- Associative? Commutative?



# Question:



- Union, intersection, set difference, symmetric difference
- **Associative?** Commutative?





## Distributivity



- how two operations and groupings interact
  - $10 \cdot (5+7) = 10 \cdot 13 = 130$
  - $10 \cdot (5+7) = 10 \cdot 5 + 10 \cdot 7 = 50 + 70 = 130$

### "Multiplication is distributive over addition"

• question: is addition distributive over multiplication?

## **Distributivity**



- how two operations and groupings interact
  - $10 \cdot (5+7) = 10 \cdot 13 = 130$
  - $10 \cdot (5+7) = 10 \cdot 5 + 10 \cdot 7 = 50 + 70 = 130$

"Multiplication is distributive over addition"

• question: is addition distributive over multiplication?

 $10 + (5 \cdot 7) = 350$  $10 + (5 \cdot 7) \neq (10 + 5) \cdot (10 + 7) = 15 \cdot 17 = 255$ 

## **Distributivity of set operations**



Theorem. Intersection (union) is distributive over the union (intersection), i.e,

for all sets A, B, C it holds that:

- $A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$
- $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$





### **De Morgan's law (theorem)**

With respect to any universe U, for any two sets A, B it holds that:

•  $(A \cup B)^c = A^c \cap B^c$ 

#### Venn...











Laws laws laws



### With respect to any universe U, for any set A, it holds that



### **Idempotent laws:**

• 
$$A \cap A = A$$
  $A \cup A = A$ 

Complement laws:  
• 
$$(A^c)^c = A$$
  
 $A \cap A^c = \emptyset$   $A \cup A^c = U$   
•  $U^c = \emptyset$   $\emptyset^c = U$ 





Laws laws laws

### With respect to any universe U, for any set A, B, C, it holds that

Theorem 6.5 Commutativity:  $A \cap B = B \cap A$  $A \cup B = B \cup A$ Associativity  $(A \cap B) \cap C = A \cap (B \cap C)$  $(A \cup B) \cup C = A \cup (B \cup C)$ **Distributivity**:  $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$  $A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$ **Idempotence**  $A \cap A = A$  $A \cup A = A$ De Morgan  $(A \cup B)^{c} = A^{c} \cap B^{c}$  $(A \cap B)^{c} = A^{c} \cup B^{c}$ nul element (identity)  $A \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$  $A \cup \emptyset = A$ identity element  $A \cup U = U$  $A \cap U = A$ double complement (involution)  $(A^c)^c = A$ complementation rules  $A \cup A^{c} = U$  $A \cap A^{c} = \emptyset$ 





### Each "law" is a theorem given the chosen operations. Provably holds from definitions of the particular operations.

**BUT**, we can go the other way around. We can treat them as *axioms*... *"algebra of sets"* 

> See Schaum 1.5 and 15.5

### **Digression!**



## Basic Identities of Boolean Algebra

Let X be a boolean variable and 0,1 constants

- 1. X + 0 = X -- Zero Axiom
- 2. X 1 = X -- Unit Axiom
- 3. X + 1 = 1 -- Unit Property
- 4. X 0 = 0 -- Zero Property
- 5. X + X = X -- Idempotence
- 6. X X = X -- Idempotence
- X + X' = 1 -- Complement
- X X' = 0 -- Complement
- 9. (X')' = X -- Involution

Fall 2006

Digital Technique by Todor Stefanov, Leiden University

## **Fundamentals of Digital Systems Design**

### **Other useful "laws" (equivalent axioms)**



• Absorption:  $A \cap (A \cup B) = A \& A \cup (A \cap B) = A$ 

**Prove please: identity, distributivity...** 

See Schaum 15.5

**Proofs in algebra of sets** 



### What constitutes a proof?

Given a set of statements (axioms), and a schemata of rewrite rules, any statement we can reach is called a theorem.

Most theorems will be actually deriving *conditional statements!* Mathematics establishes relations

### **Proofs in algebra of sets**

### **Unecessarily long proofs:**

 $A \cap A =$  $(A \cap A) \cup \emptyset =$  (complement)  $(A \cap A) \cup (A \cap A^c) = (distributivity)$  $A \cap (A \cup A^c) = (complement)$  $A \cap U =$ A

(*nul* – *element*) (unit element)



### **Duality of intersection and union**

**"Take any valid expression with intersections and unions. Switch unions with intersections, and empty sets with U. It is still true."** 



```
Duality (set algebra)
Let \Phi, \Psi be meaningful expressions involving sets, unions and intersections,
then
if \Phi = \Psi then \Phi^* = \Psi^*
```

where  $\Phi^*$  denotes the expression obtained by exchaning unions and intersections and empty sets with U.



Duality of intersection and union "take any valid expression, and switch unions with intersections, and empty sets with U. It is still true."

Why, how, what?

-the duality holds for the basic rules (axioms).