

Logica (I&E)

najaar 2018

<http://liacs.leidenuniv.nl/~vlietrvan1/logica/>

Rudy van Vliet

kamer 140 Snellius, tel. 071-527 2876
rvvliet(at)liacs(dot)nl

college 3, maandag 17 september 2018

1.2 Natural deduction

Als je één goal meer maakt dan de ander, dan win je.

A slide from lecture 2:

1.2. Natural deduction

Proof rules

Premises $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n$

Conclusion ψ

Sequent $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n \vdash \psi$

A slide from lecture 1:

Propositional logic

Example 1.1. If *the train arrives late* and *there are no taxis at the station*, then *John is late for his meeting*. *John is not late for his meeting*. *The train did arrive late*.

Therefore, there were taxis at the station.

Example 1.2. If *it is raining* and *Jane does not have her umbrella with her*, then *she will get wet*. *Jane is not wet*. *It is raining*.

Therefore, Jane has her umbrella with her.

General structure:

If *p* and *not q*, then *r*. *Not r*. *p*. *Therefore, q*.

Propositional logic

Example 1.1. If the train arrives late and there are no taxis at the station, then John is late for his meeting. John is not late for his meeting. The train did arrive late.

Therefore, there were taxis at the station.

Example 1.2. If it is raining and Jane does not have her umbrella with her, then she will get wet. Jane is not wet. It is raining.

Therefore, Jane has her umbrella with her.

General structure:

$$p \wedge \neg q \rightarrow r, \neg r, p \vdash q$$

A slide from lecture 2:

The rules for conjunction

And-introduction:

$$\frac{\phi \quad \psi}{\phi \wedge \psi} \wedge i$$

And-elimination:

$$\frac{\phi \wedge \psi}{\phi} \wedge e_1 \qquad \frac{\phi \wedge \psi}{\psi} \wedge e_2$$

Alternative notation

And-elimination:

$$\frac{\phi \wedge \psi}{\phi} \wedge e_R \qquad \frac{\phi \wedge \psi}{\psi} \wedge e_L$$

A slide from lecture 2:

Example 1.4. Proof of: $p \wedge q, r \vdash q \wedge r$

1	$p \wedge q$	premise
2	r	premise
3	q	$\wedge e_2$ 1
4	$q \wedge r$	$\wedge i$ 3, 2

In tree-like form...

Example 1.6. Proof of: $(p \wedge q) \wedge r, s \wedge t \vdash q \wedge s$

The rules of double negation

It is not true that it does not rain.

$$\frac{\neg\neg\phi}{\phi} \text{ e}\neg\neg \qquad \frac{\phi}{\neg\neg\phi} \text{ i}\neg\neg$$

Example 1.5.

$$p, \neg\neg(q \wedge r) \vdash \neg\neg p \wedge r$$

Proof...

The rule for eliminating implication

= Modus ponens

$$\frac{\phi \quad \phi \rightarrow \psi}{\psi} \rightarrow e$$

Je maakt één goal meer dan de ander.

Als je één goal meer maakt dan de ander, dan win je.

Example.

$$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r), p \rightarrow q, p \vdash r$$

Proof...

Another rule for eliminating implication

= Modus tollens

$$\frac{\phi \rightarrow \psi \quad \neg\psi}{\neg\phi} \text{ MT}$$

*Als je één goal meer maakt dan de ander, dan win je.
Je wint niet.*

Example 1.7.

$$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r), p, \neg r \vdash \neg q$$

Proof...

Examples 1.8.

$$\neg p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash p$$

Proof...

$$p \rightarrow \neg q, q \vdash \neg p$$

Proof...

The rule implies introduction

Example.

$$p \rightarrow q \vdash \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$$

Proof...

The rule implies introduction

$$\frac{\begin{array}{|c} \phi \\ \vdots \\ \psi \end{array}}{\phi \rightarrow \psi} \rightarrow i$$

We can only use a formula ϕ in a proof at a given point, if ...

Example 1.9.

$$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p \vdash p \rightarrow \neg\neg q$$

Proof...

One-line argument

1 p premise

Definition 1.10.

Logical formulas ϕ with valid sequent $\vdash \phi$ are *theorems*.

Example 1.11.

$$\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow ((\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r))$$

Proof...

Boxproof

1	$q \rightarrow r$	assumption
2	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	assumption
3	p	assumption
4	$\neg\neg p$	$\neg\neg$ i 3
5	$\neg\neg q$	MT 2,4
6	q	$\neg\neg$ e 5
7	r	\rightarrow e 1,6
8	$p \rightarrow r$	\rightarrow i 3–7
9	$(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$	\rightarrow i 2–8
10	$(q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow ((\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r))$	\rightarrow i 1–9

Structure of possible proof

Structure of formula (tree)

Remark 1.12.

This way, we may transform any proof of

$$\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \dots, \phi_n \vdash \psi$$

into a proof of

$$\vdash \phi_1 \rightarrow (\phi_2 \rightarrow (\phi_3 \rightarrow (\dots (\phi_n \rightarrow \psi) \dots)))$$

Example.

$$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r), p \rightarrow q, p \vdash r$$

Proof:

1	$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$	premise
2	$p \rightarrow q$	premise
3	p	premise
4	$q \rightarrow r$	\rightarrow e 1,3
5	q	\rightarrow e 2,3
6	r	\rightarrow e 4,5

Example 1.13.

$$p \wedge q \rightarrow r \vdash p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$$

Proof...

Example 1.14.

$$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \vdash p \wedge q \rightarrow r$$

Proof...

Hence, equivalent formulas:

$$p \wedge q \rightarrow r \dashv\vdash p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$$

The rules for disjunction

Or-introduction:

$$\frac{\phi}{\phi \vee \psi} \vee i_1 \qquad \frac{\psi}{\phi \vee \psi} \vee i_2$$

Regardless of $\psi / \phi \dots$

Alternative notation

Or-introduction:

$$\frac{\phi}{\phi \vee \psi} \text{vi}_R \quad \frac{\psi}{\phi \vee \psi} \text{vi}_L$$

Or-elimination

How to infer χ from $\phi \vee \psi$?

Or-elimination

$$\frac{\phi \vee \psi \quad \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \phi \\ \hline \vdots \\ \hline \chi \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \psi \\ \hline \vdots \\ \hline \chi \\ \hline \end{array}}{\chi} \text{ve}$$

Example.

$$p \vee q \vdash q \vee p$$

Proof...