

### Corrections made for public version (compared to printed version):

- Date: 14-08-2014 - 19-09-2014:
  - For confidentiality reasons, the input survey results (p.112 – 132) are not shown in the public version.
  - p. II: “*Master’s Thesis*” and “*In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of*”
  - Figure 3.1: RQ3 and RQ4 were switched. RQ4 is related to the Measurement Framework, whereas RQ3 is related to the KPIs.
  - KPI 21: “[.] *by only one domain at maximum*” changed to “[.] *by only one domain at most*”.
  - Reference 51: Added: “*retrieved from expert*” and “*Novay, Inzycht, Bizzdesign*”
  - Table 3.1: RQ4 updated to “*How are key performance indicators for enterprise architecture effectiveness linked?*”

### Noteworthy limitations:

- Date: 09-2014:
  - Incomplete survey responses on the evaluation survey were deliberately excluded from the results and discussion. One respondent did not complete the survey and his opinion on some of the KPIs was therefore not taken into account. Including his view may have led to slightly different insights (e.g. KPI 10 would not have been rejected *per definition*).
  - As mentioned, books (and in one case a dissertation) were excluded from the structured part of the literature review.

### Footnotes:

- Date: 10-2014:
  - Footnote considering the online survey:  
As he indicated that he could not prepare anything prior to the interview, one expert filled out the input survey manually at the start of the interview. His answers were put into electronic format by the author. Note that the interview questions were therefore not sent in advance either.